

## **populations The first galaxies: structure and stellar**

Mark Dickinson

THE ROYAL

**PHILOSOPHICAL**<br>TRANSACTIONS ŏ

**MATHEMATICAL,<br>PHYSICAL<br>& ENGINEERING<br>SCIENCES** 

THE ROYAL

**PHILOSOPHICAL**<br>TRANSACTIONS ŏ doi: 10.1098/rsta.2000.0626 Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. A 2000 **358**, 2001-2019

**Email alerting service**

the article or click **[here](http://rsta.royalsocietypublishing.org/cgi/alerts/ctalert?alertType=citedby&addAlert=cited_by&saveAlert=no&cited_by_criteria_resid=roypta;358/1772/2001&return_type=article&return_url=http://rsta.royalsocietypublishing.org/content/358/1772/2001.full.pdf)** article - sign up in the box at the top right-hand corner of Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this

**MATHEMATIC PHYSICAL** 

**& ENGINEERI SCIENCES** 

**<http://rsta.royalsocietypublishing.org/subscriptions>** To subscribe to Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. A go to:

10.1098/rsta.2000.0626

**'SICAL<br>NGINEERING ATHEMATICAL** 

JYAL

**PHILOSOPHICAL**<br>TRANSACTIONS

YSICAL<br>ENGINEERING **MATHEMATICAL** 

ROYAL

H

**PHILOSOPHICAL**<br>TRANSACTIONS

# $T$ he first galaxies: structure and<br>stellar populations st galaxies: structure<br>stellar populations **Stellar populations**<br>BY MARK DICKINSON

Downloaded from [rsta.royalsocietypublishing.org](http://rsta.royalsocietypublishing.org/)

BY MARK DICKINSON<br>*Space Telescope Science Institute, 3700 San Martin Drive,*<br>*Boltimore, MD 91918 JISA BY MARK DICKINSON*<br>*Baltimore, MD 21218, USA*<br>*Baltimore, MD 21218, USA* 

The Hubble deep fields (HDFs) continue to be a valuable resource for studying<br>the distant Universe, particularly at  $z > 2$  where their comoving volume becomes The Hubble deep fields (HDFs) continue to be a valuable resource for studying<br>the distant Universe, particularly at  $z > 2$  where their comoving volume becomes<br>large enough to encompass several hundred  $L^*$  galaxies or th The Hubble deep fields (HDFs) continue to be a valuable resource for studying<br>the distant Universe, particularly at  $z > 2$  where their comoving volume becomes<br>large enough to encompass several hundred  $L^*$  galaxies or th the distant Universe, particularly at  $z > 2$  where their comoving volume becomes large enough to encompass several hundred  $L^*$  galaxies or their progenitors. Here, I present recent results from a near-infrared (NIR) imaging survey of the HDF-<br>north with the Near Infrared Camera and Multi-Object Spectrograph (NICMOS),<br>which provides structural and photometric information in the optica north with the Near Infrared Camera and Multi-Object Spectrograph (NICMOS), north with the Near Infrared Camera and Multi-Object Spectrograph (NICMOS),<br>which provides structural and photometric information in the optical rest frame<br> $(\lambda \lambda_0 4000$ -5500 Å) for hundreds of 'ordinary' galaxies at  $2 < z$ which provides structural and photometric information in the optical rest frame  $(\lambda\lambda_04000-5500 \text{ Å})$  for hundreds of 'ordinary' galaxies at  $2 < z < 3$ , and which offers the means to search for still-more-distant objects a offers the means to search for still-more-distant objects at  $z \gg 5$ . Lyman-break galaxies (LBGs) at  $2 < z < 3$  are compact and often irregular in the NICMOS offers the means to search for still-more-distant objects at  $z \gg 5$ . Lyman-break galaxies (LBGs) at  $2 < z < 3$  are compact and often irregular in the NICMOS images; ordinary Hubble sequence spirals and ellipticals seem to galaxies (LBGs) at  $2 < z < 3$  are compact and often irregular in the NICMOS images; ordinary Hubble sequence spirals and ellipticals seem to be largely absent at these redshifts, and apparently reached maturity at  $1 < z < 2$ . at these redshifts, and apparently reached maturity at  $1 < z < 2$ . The LBGs have ultraviolet (UV)-optical spectral energy distributions like those of local starburst at these redshifts, and apparently reached maturity at  $1 < z < 2$ . The LBGs have<br>ultraviolet (UV)-optical spectral energy distributions like those of local starburst<br>galaxies. Population synthesis models suggest typical age ultraviolet (UV)–optical spectral energy distributions like those of local starburst<br>galaxies. Population synthesis models suggest typical ages of a few  $\times 10^8$  years and<br>moderate UV extinction (*ca*. 1.2 mag at 1700 Å) galaxies. Population synthesis models suggest typical ages of a few  $\times$  10<sup>8</sup> years and moderate UV extinction (*ca*. 1.2 mag at 1700 Å), but the constraints are fairly weak and there may be considerable variety. Conside moderate UV extinction (*ca*. 1.2 mag at 1700 Å), but the constraints are fairly weak<br>and there may be considerable variety. Considering an NIR selected galaxy sample,<br>there is little evidence for a significant number of and there may be considerable variety. Considering an NIR selected galaxy sample,<br>there is little evidence for a significant number of galaxies at  $z \sim 3$  that have been<br>missed by UV-based Lyman-break selection. Using the there is little evidence for a significant number of galaxies at  $z \sim 3$  that have been missed by UV-based Lyman-break selection. Using the well-characterized  $z \sim 3$  galaxy population as a point of reference, I consider missed by UV-based Lyman-break selection. Using the well-characterized  $z \sim 3$  galaxy population as a point of reference, I consider LBG candidates at  $4.5 < z < 9$ , as well as one remarkable object that might (or might not) galaxy population as a point of reference, I consider LBG candidates at  $4.5 < z < 9$ , as well as one remarkable object that might (or might not) be an LBG at  $z > 12$ . The space density of UV-bright galaxies in the HDF appea as well as one remarkable object that might (or might not) be an LBG at  $z > 12$ . The space density of UV-bright galaxies in the HDF appears to thin out toward larger redshifts, although surface-brightness selection effect

redshifts, although surface-brightness selection effects may play an important role.<br>Keywords: early Universe; evolution; morphology; stellar content; galaxies

### 1. Introduction

### (*a*) *The ¯rst galaxies?*

The past five years have seen remarkable breakthroughs in our ability to identify and The past five years have seen remarkable breakthroughs in our ability to identify and<br>systematically study ordinary galaxies at very large redshifts, not just as isolated<br>case studies but en masse as a galaxy population. The past five years have seen remarkable breakthroughs in our ability to identify and<br>systematically study ordinary galaxies at very large redshifts, not just as isolated<br>case studies, but *en masse* as a galaxy *populati* systematically study ordinary galaxies at very large redshifts, not just as isolated<br>case studies, but *en masse* as a galaxy *population*. To date, nearly 1000 galaxies<br>have been spectroscopically confirmed at  $z > 2$ , mo case studies, but *en masse* as a galaxy *population*. To date, nearly 1000 galaxies have been spectroscopically confirmed at  $z > 2$ , mostly identified via broadband colour-selection techniques (see Steidel *et al.* (1996 have been spectroscopically confirmed at  $z > 2$ , mostly identified via broadband<br>colour-selection techniques (see Steidel *et al.* (1996), i.e. the Lyman-break galaxies<br>(LBGs)), but with other important and complementary colour-selection techniques (see Steidel *et al.* (1996), i.e. the Lyman-break galaxies (LBGs)), but with other important and complementary methods also coming into play (submillimetre (SMM)) and radio surveys, emission l (LBGs)), but with other important and complementary methods also coming into play (submillimetre (SMM)) and radio surveys, emission line searches, quasi-stellar object (QSO) absorption systems, etc.). A broad census of ga *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond.* A (2000) 358, 2001–2019 (2000) The Royal Society

2002 M. Dickinson<br>now seems within reach, covering star-formation rates (SFRs), dust content, morphologies, spatial clustering, and perhaps even chemical abundances and internal kinematics.

Although I have retained my assigned title, 'The first galaxies...', it is far from kinematics.<br>Although I have retained my assigned title, 'The first galaxies...', it is far from<br>clear that we know when, where or how to find the 'first' galaxies. The  $z \sim 3$ <br>LBGs may or may not be the first major wave o Although I have retained my assigned title, 'The first galaxies...', it is far from clear that we know when, where or how to find the 'first' galaxies. The  $z \sim 3$  LBGs may or may not be the first major wave of galaxy for LBGs may or may not be the first major wave of galaxy formation. If anything, current data favour a roughly constant global SFR (as traced by cosmic ultraviolet LBGs may or may not be the first major wave of galaxy formation. If anything,<br>current data favour a roughly constant global SFR (as traced by cosmic ultraviolet<br>(UV) luminosity density, at least) from  $2 \le z \le 4$ , with no current data favour a roughly constant global SFR (as traced by cosmic ultraviolet (UV) luminosity density, at least) from  $2 \le z \le 4$ , with no certain evidence for a decline at higher redshifts (Steidel *et al.* 1999). Th (UV) luminosity density, at least) from  $2 \le z \le 4$ , with no certain evidence for a decline at higher redshifts (Steidel *et al.* 1999). The SMM population detected by the Submillimetre Common User Bolometer Array (SCUBA) decline at higher redshifts (Steidel *et al.* 1999). The SMM population detected by<br>the Submillimetre Common User Bolometer Array (SCUBA) (cf. Cowie, this issue)<br>may or may not represent the bulk of early star formation, a the Submillimetre Common User Bolometer Array (SCUBA) (cf. Cowie, this issue) may or may not represent the bulk of early star formation, and the upper redshift bound to SCUBA sources remains unknown. The reionization of t may or may not represent the bulk of early star formation, and the upper redshift<br>bound to SCUBA sources remains unknown. The reionization of the intergalactic<br>medium at  $z > 5$  and the presence of metals in the Ly $\alpha$  for bound to SCUBA sources remains unknown. The reionization of the intergalactic medium at  $z > 5$  and the presence of metals in the Ly $\alpha$  forest at  $z \sim 3$  point toward earlier epochs of star and galaxy formation, at least medium at  $z > 5$  and the presence of metals in the Ly $\alpha$  forest at  $z \sim 3$  point toward earlier epochs of star and galaxy formation, at least in trace amounts. A handful of galaxies now have plausible spectroscopic confi earlier epochs of sta<br>galaxies now have pl<br>systematic census.<br>For this reason. I galaxies now have plausible spectroscopic confirmation at  $z > 5$ , but too few for any systematic census.<br>For this reason, I cannot promise to live up to my title: I do not know what the first galaxies are or what they loo

rest galaxies are or what they look like. Given this ignorance, in the first part of first galaxies are or what they look like. Given this ignorance, in the first part of this article I will focus on the structure and stel For this reason, I cannot promise to live up to my title: I do not know what the first galaxies are or what they look like. Given this ignorance, in the first part of this article I will focus on the structure and stellar first galaxies are or what they look like. Given this ignorance, in the first part of this article I will focus on the structure and stellar populations of the most-distant *well-studied* galaxies, the  $z \sim 3$  Lyman-break this article I will focus on the structure and stellar populations of the most-distant *well-studied* galaxies, the  $z \sim 3$  Lyman-break objects. This is not meant as a comprehensive review, but will instead concentrate on *well-studied* galaxies, the  $z \sim 3$  Lyman-break objects. This is not meant as a comprehensive review, but will instead concentrate on new imaging and photometric data from the Near Infrared Camera and Multi-Object Spectr from the Near Infrared Camera and Multi-Object Spectrograph (NICMOS) on-board from the Near Infrared Camera and Multi-Object Spectrograph (NICMOS) on-board<br>the Hubble Space Telescope (HST) that extend our knowledge of LBG properties to<br>the optical rest frame. In his contribution to this issue, Max P the Hubble Space Telescope (HST) that extend our knowledge of LBG properties to<br>the optical rest frame. In his contribution to this issue, Max Pettini provides a com-<br>plementary discussion of recent efforts to measure chem the optical rest frame. In his contribution to this issue, Max Pettini provides a com-<br>plementary discussion of recent efforts to measure chemical abundances and internal<br>kinematics for these same galaxies. In the second p plementary discussion of recent efforts to measure chemical abundances and internal<br>kinematics for these same galaxies. In the second part of my article, I will describe<br>efforts to extend Lyman-break colour selection to s efforts to extend Lyman-break colour selection to still larger redshifts, approaching efforts to extend Lyman-break colour selection to still larger redshifts, approaching<br>(or perhaps even exceeding)  $z \approx 10$ . In this way I hope to at least provide a look<br>into the epoch when 'the first galaxies' might plau (or perhaps even exceeding)  $z \approx 10$ . In this way I hope to at least provide a look<br>into the epoch when 'the first galaxies' might plausibly have been formed, and to<br>catalogue what we can find right now, in the pre-Next G catalogue what we can find right now, in the pre-Next Generation Space Telescope (pre-NGST) era, given the best available survey data.

### (b) Infrared observations of the Hubble deep field

For the past five years, the Hubble deep fields (HDFs) have provided the most exquisitely deep, high-angular-resolution optical census of the distant Universe. It is For the past five years, the Hubble deep fields (HDFs) have provided the most exquisitely deep, high-angular-resolution optical census of the distant Universe. It is important (if somewhat pedantic) to consider what an HD exquisitely deep, high-angular-resolution optical census of the distant Universe. It is<br>important (if somewhat pedantic) to consider what an HDF is actually good for. One<br>WFPC2 field covers 5 arcmin<sup>2</sup>, and probes a very important (if somewhat pedantic) to consider what an HDF is actually good for. One WFPC2 field covers 5 arcmin<sup>2</sup>, and probes a very small comoving volume at  $z < 1$ , enough to hold only  $ca. 12{\text -}30L^*$  galaxies, dependin enough to hold only  $ca. 12-30L^*$  galaxies, depending on the cosmology. Given small-number statistics and concerns about clustering, the central HDF is, therefore, not enough to hold only  $ca. 12-30L^*$  galaxies, depending on the cosmology. Given small-<br>number statistics and concerns about clustering, the central HDF is, therefore, not<br>the best place to study massive galaxies in the 'low number statistics and concerns about clustering, the central HDF is, therefore, not<br>the best place to study massive galaxies in the 'low'-redshift Universe, despite the<br>fact that most of cosmic time and most bright galaxi the best place to study massive galaxies in the 'low'-redshift Universe, despite the fact that most of cosmic time and most bright galaxies with spectroscopic redshifts are at  $z \leq 1$ . There is far more volume at high re Let that most of cosmic time and most bright galaxies with spectroscopic redshifts<br>are at  $z \leq 1$ . There is far more volume at high redshift: 10.5–40 times more at<br> $z \leq 2 < z < 10$  than at  $z < 1$  for plausible cosmologies,  $L^*$  galaxies or their progenitors. are at  $z \leq 1$ . There is far more volume at high redshift: 10.5–40 times more at  $\langle z \rangle \langle z \rangle$  10 than at  $z \rangle \langle 1$  for plausible cosmologies, room enough for several hundred<br>  $\langle z \rangle$  alaxies or their progenitors.<br>
At  $z > 1$ , the optical light emitted from galaxies shifts into the near infrared<br>
(IR) T

L<sup>\*</sup> galaxies or their progenitors.<br>At  $z > 1$ , the optical light emitted from galaxies shifts into the near infrared<br>(NIR). Thus, in order to compare  $z > 2$  galaxies with their local counterparts,<br>and to search for still-At  $z > 1$ , the optical light emitted from galaxies shifts into the near infrared (NIR). Thus, in order to compare  $z > 2$  galaxies with their local counterparts, and to search for still-more-distant objects at  $z \gg 5$ , it and to search for still-more-distant objects at  $z \gg 5$ , it is important to extend<br>*Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond.* A (2000)

**OYAL** 

HL

**PHILOSOPHICAL**<br>TRANSACTIONS  $\overline{\delta}$ 

ATHEMATICAL

ROYA

HH

**PHILOSOPHICAL**<br>TRANSACTIONS

 $The first galaxies$ <br>the wavelength baseline. The HDF-north was observed in the NIR from the ground the wavelength baseline. The HDF-north was observed in the NIR from the ground<br>in several different programmes (see, for example, Hogg *et al.* (1997), Barger *et*<br>*al.* (1999), and our own Kitt Peak National Observatory ( the wavelength baseline. The HDF-north was observed in the NIR from the ground<br>in several different programmes (see, for example, Hogg *et al.* (1997), Barger *et<br>al.* (1999), and our own Kitt Peak National Observatory (KP al. (1999), and our own Kitt Peak National Observatory (KPNO) 4m J, H and  $K_s$  (JH $K_s$ ) filter imaging; cf. Dickinson (1998)). The depth and angular resolution (typically ca. 1 arcsec) of these data are a poor match to th *al.* (1999), and our own Kitt Peak National Observatory (KPNO)  $4m$  J, H and  $K_s$  (JH $K_s$ ) filter imaging; cf. Dickinson (1998)). The depth and angular resolution (typically *ca*. 1 arcsec) of these data are a poor match  $K_s$  (JH $K_s$ ) filter imaging; cf. Dickinson (1998)). The depth and angular resolution (typically *ca*. 1 arcsec) of these data are a poor match to those of the optical WFPC2 HDF images. Two programmes therefore targeted t HDF images. Two programmes therefore targeted the HDF-north with the NICMOS<br>on board the HST, providing much deeper images with high angular resolution. The NICMOS guaranteed time observers (GTOs) (Thompson *et al*. 1999) imaged one on board the HST, providing much deeper images with high angular resolution. The NICMOS guaranteed time observers (GTOs) (Thompson *et al.* 1999) imaged one NICMOS camera 3 field  $(ca. 51 \times 51 \text{ arcsec}^2)$  for 49 orbits each a NICMOS guaranteed time observers (GTOs) (Thompson *et al.* 1999) imaged one<br>NICMOS camera 3 field  $(ca.51 \times 51 \text{ arcsec}^2)$  for 49 orbits each at F110W (1.1  $\mu$ m)<br>and F160W (1.6  $\mu$ m). Our own programme mosaicked the complet and F160W  $(1.6 \,\mu\text{m})$ . Our own programme mosaicked the complete HDF with a mean exposure time of 12600 s per filter in F110W and F160W. Sensitivity varies over the field of view, but the average depth is AB  $\approx 26.1$  at  $S/N = 10$  in an 0."7 diameter aperture. The drizzled point spread function (PSF) has a full-width half-maximum (FWHM) of 0."22, primarily limited by the NIC3  $\mu$ <sup>0.7</sup> diameter aperture. The drizzled point spread function (PSF) has a full-width 0."7 diameter aperture. The drizzled point spread function (PSF) has a full-width half-maximum (FWHM) of 0."22, primarily limited by the NIC3 pixel scale. Because most galaxies have spectral energy distributions (SEDs) th half-maximum (FWHM) of 0."22, primarily limited by the NIC3 pixel scale. Because<br>most galaxies have spectral energy distributions (SEDs) that brighten (in  $f_{\nu}$  units) at<br>redder wavelengths, our images detect roughly ha most galaxies have spectral energy distributions (SEDs) that brighten (in  $f_{\nu}$  units) at redder wavelengths, our images detect roughly half of the galaxies from the WFPC2 HDF, despite their short exposure times. We hav redder wavelengths, our images detect roughly half of the galaxies from the WFPC2 HDF, despite their short exposure times. We have also re-analysed our KPNO  $K_s$  images to optimally extract photometry matched to the WFPC2 HDF, despite their short exposure times. We have also re-analysed our KPNO  $K_s$  images to optimally extract photometry matched to the WFPC2 + NICMOS data.<br>These data are not as deep as one would like, which is unfortunate images to optimally extract photometry matched to the WFPC2 + NICMOS data.<br>These data are not as deep as one would like, which is unfortunate because they provide the only access to rest-frame optical wavelengths for obje These data are not as deep as one would<br>provide the only access to rest-frame optica<br>but they are the best presently available.<br>Thanks to the dedicated efforts of the provide the only access to rest-frame optical wavelengths for objects at  $3 < z < 4.4$ , but they are the best presently available.<br>Thanks to the dedicated efforts of the observers, a remarkably high density of

but they are the best presently available.<br>Thanks to the dedicated efforts of the observers, a remarkably high density of<br>spectroscopic redshifts is available in the HDF-north: approximately 150 galaxies<br>(plus a few stars) Thanks to the dedicated efforts of the observers, a remarkably high density of spectroscopic redshifts is available in the HDF-north: approximately 150 galaxies (plus a few stars) in the central WFPC2 + NICMOS field alone spectroscopic redshifts is available in the HDF-north: approximately 150 galaxies<br>(plus a few stars) in the central WFPC2 + NICMOS field alone, with 33 objects at<br> $2 < z < 5.6$ . Taking advantage of the high-quality photometr (plus a few stars) in the central WFPC2 + NICMOS field alone, with 33 objects at  $2 < z < 5.6$ . Taking advantage of the high-quality photometric data, many investigators have used multicolour selection (e.g. the two-colour L  $2 < z < 5.6$ . Taking advantage of the high-quality photometric data, many investigators have used multicolour selection (e.g. the two-colour Lyman-break criteria of Steidel *et al.* (1996), Madau *et al.* (1996), and others gators have used multicolour selection (e.g. the two-colour Lyman-break criteria of Steidel *et al.* (1996), Madau *et al.* (1996), and others) or photometric redshifts (see, Steidel *et al.* (1996), Madau *et al.* (1996), and others) or photometric redshifts (see, for example, Fernández-Soto *et al.* 1999) to identify high-redshift-galaxy candidates. There are advantages and drawbacks to both ICAL<br>GINEERING<br>VCES for example, Fernández-Soto *et al.* 1999) to identify high-redshift-galaxy candidates.<br>There are advantages and drawbacks to both approaches, but both have demonstrated remarkable successes. In this discussion, I will mak There are advantages and drawbacks to both approaches, but both have demon-<br>  $\frac{G}{G}$  strated remarkable successes. In this discussion, I will make use of both methods.<br>  $\frac{G}{G}$  For the photometric redshifts, I will us strated remarkable successes. In this discussion, I will make use of both methods. otherwise straightforward spectral template- tting scheme. I will use AB magnitudes here throughout, and notate the six WFPC2 + NICMOS bandpasses by  $U_{300}$ ,  $B_{450}$ ,  $V_{606}$ ,  $I_{814}$ ,  $J_{110}$ and  $H_{160}$ . Unless stated otherwise, I will assume a cosmology with here throughout, and notate the six WFPC2 + NIC  $V_{606}$ ,  $I_{814}$ ,  $J_{110}$  and  $H_{160}$ . Unless stated otherwise,  $\Omega_{\rm M} = 0.3$ ,  $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.7$ , and  $H_0 = 70$  km s<sup>-1</sup> Mpc<sup>-1</sup>. .

### 2. Galaxy morphologies at 2 *< z <* <sup>3</sup>

2. Galaxy morphologies at  $2 < z < 3$ <br>The NICMOS  $H_{160}$  images sample rest-frame wavelengths in the optical V- to B-<br>bands from  $z = 2$  to 2.8. This upper bound is about the midpoint of the redshift<br>range where '*U*<sub>290</sub>-dr The NICMOS  $H_{160}$  images sample rest-frame wavelengths in the optical  $V$ - to  $B$ -<br>bands from  $z = 2$  to 2.8. This upper bound is about the midpoint of the redshift<br>range, where ' $U_{300}$ -dropout' Lyman-break selection i bands from  $z = 2$  to 2.8. This upper bound is about the midpoint of the redshift<br>range, where ' $U_{300}$ -dropout' Lyman-break selection in the HDF is most efficient.<br>Therefore, at these redshifts we may use the NICMOS data range, where ' $U_{300}$ -dropout' Lyman-break selection in the HDF is most efficient.<br>Therefore, at these redshifts we may use the NICMOS data to study the morphologies<br>of LBGs at wavelengths where long-lived stars, if they Therefore, at these redshifts we may use the NICMOS data to study the morphologies<br>of LBGs at wavelengths where long-lived stars, if they are present, may dominate the<br>light from the galaxy, and where dust obscuration sho of LBGs at wavelengths where long-lived stars, if they are present, may dominate the light from the galaxy, and where dust obscuration should play a significantly lesser role than it does in the UV. For LBGs at  $z \gtrsim 3$ , light from the galaxy, and where dust obscuration should play a significantly lesser<br>role than it does in the UV. For LBGs at  $z \gtrsim 3$ , the NICMOS  $H_{160}$  bandpass slips<br>into the rest-frame UV, and the NICMOS images onc role than it does in the UV. For LBGs at  $z \gtrsim 3$ , the NICMOS  $H_{160}$  bandpass slips into the rest-frame UV, and the NICMOS images once again tell us more about the distribution of star formation within galaxies than ab distribution of star formation within galaxies than about that of their stellar mass.<br>*Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond.* A (2000)

**HEMATICAL** 

**THEMATICA** 

HL

**PHILOSOPHICAL**<br>TRANSACTIONS  $\overline{\sigma}$  <sup>2004</sup> *M. Dickinson* Downloaded from [rsta.royalsocietypublishing.org](http://rsta.royalsocietypublishing.org/)



Figure 1. UV and optical rest-frame images of HDF LBGs with spectroscopic redshifts  $2 < z < 3$ . Figure 1. UV and optical rest-frame images of HDF LBGs with spectroscopic redshifts  $2 < z < 3$ .<br>For each object, the left-hand image is interpolated between WFPC2 passbands to  $\lambda_0 1700 \text{ Å}$ , while the right-hand panel in Figure 1. UV and optical rest-frame images of HDF LBGs with spectroscopic redshifts  $2 < z < 3$ .<br>For each object, the left-hand image is interpolated between WFPC2 passbands to  $\lambda_0 1700 \text{ Å}$ ,<br>while the right-hand panel in while the right-hand panel interpolates the NICMOS images to the rest frame B-band (4300 Å).<br>Galaxies at  $2.8 < z < 3$  use the NICMOS  $H_{160}$  images without extrapolation. Boxes are while the right-hand pane<br>
Galaxies at  $2.8 < z <$ <br>  $4 \times 4 \arcsec^2$ , or  $32h_{70}^{-1}$  k<br>
convolved to match the N  $^{-1}$  1. banel interpolates the NICMOS images to the rest frame *B*-band (4300 A).<br>  $\leq$  3 use the NICMOS  $H_{160}$  images without extrapolation. Boxes are<br>  $T_0^{-1}$  kpc on a side at  $z = 2.5$ . Here, the WFPC2 images have *not* bee Galaxies at  $2.8 < z < 3$  use the NICI  $4 \times 4$  arcsec<sup>2</sup>, or  $32h_{70}^{-1}$  kpc on a side a convolved to match the NICMOS PSF. Figure 1 compares rest frame UV and optical images of a set of HDF LBGs with

Figure 1 compares rest frame UV and optical images of a set of HDF LBGs with spectroscopic redshifts  $2 < z < 3$ . The NICMOS data have somewhat poorer angular resolution  $(0''22)$  compared with  $0''14$  for WFPC2) but otherwise Figure 1 compares rest frame UV and optical images of a set of HDF LBGs with<br>spectroscopic redshifts  $2 < z < 3$ . The NICMOS data have somewhat poorer angular<br>resolution (0.''22 compared with 0.''14 for WFPC2), but otherwise spectroscopic redshifts  $2 < z < 3$ . The NICMOS data have somewhat poorer angular resolution (0.''22 compared with 0.''14 for WFPC2), but otherwise the most striking thing is the broad similarity of the UV and optical morpho *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond.* A (2000)

**ATHEMATICAL** 

**JAYO** 

**PHILOSOPHICAL**<br>TRANSACTIONS  $\overline{O}$ 

**THEERING** ATHEMATICAL

**OYAL** 

THE

**PHILOSOPHICAL**<br>TRANSACTIONS

The first galaxies 2005<br>in WFPC2 images, and the same is true at the NICMOS wavelengths. Accounting **INEERING**<br>ES in WFPC2 images, and the same is true at the NICMOS wavelengths. Accounting<br>for PSF differences, the half-light radii of the galaxies measured from the NICMOS<br>images are the same as (or in some cases, slightly smaller tha for PSF differences, the half-light radii of the galaxies measured from the NICMOS for PSF differences, the half-light radii of the galaxies measured from the NICMOS images are the same as (or in some cases, slightly smaller than) those from the WFPC2 data. Notable morphological differences are seen in images are the same as (or in some cases, slightly smaller than) those from the WFPC2 data. Notable morphological differences are seen in only a few cases, e.g. 2–585.1 ( $z = 2.008$ ) and 4–52 ( $z = 2.931$ ), two of the large WFPC2 data. Notable morphological differences are seen in only a few cases, e.g. 2–585.1 ( $z = 2.008$ ) and 4–52 ( $z = 2.931$ ), two of the largest LBGs in the HDF.<br>Each has a region of diffuse emission in the WFPC2 images th Each has a region of diffuse emission in the WFPC2 images that 'lights up' in the NICMOS  $H_{160}$  data, with quite red colours. It is not clear whether this is due to the Each has a region of diffuse emission in the WFPC2 images that 'lights up' in NICMOS  $H_{160}$  data, with quite red colours. It is not clear whether this is due to presence of dust, older and redder starlight, or possibly CMOS  $H_{160}$  data, with quite red colours. It is not clear whether this is due to the esence of dust, older and redder starlight, or possibly strong line emission.<sup>†</sup><br>Generally, there is no evidence that the UV-bright re

presence of dust, older and redder starlight, or possibly strong line emission.†<br>Generally, there is no evidence that the UV-bright regions seen by WFPC2 are<br>just star-forming 'fragments' embedded within some larger, matur Generally, there is no evidence that the UV-bright region<br>just star-forming 'fragments' embedded within some larger, r<br>the whole, one or more of the following appears to be true. the whole, one or more of the following appears to be true.<br>(1) Stars that dominate the light at  $\lambda \lambda_0 1200$ –1800 Å also dominate at  $\lambda \lambda_0 4000$ –

- $5500 \text{ Å}.$
- $(2)$  If components with substantially different ages and colours are present, then they are fairly well mixed, spatially.
- (3) Dust extinction does not play a dominant role in shaping the morphologies of LBGs at these wavelengths. Dust extinction does not play<br>LBGs at these wavelengths.

LBGs at these wavelengths.<br>All of this should be taken *modulo* the important caveat that at  $FWHM = 0.^{0.22}$ <br>resolution most of the LBGs are *not* exquisitely resolved; they are typically very All of this should be taken *modulo* the important caveat that at  $FWHM = 0.^{\prime\prime}22$  resolution, most of the LBGs are *not* exquisitely resolved: they are typically very small having only a few resolution elements within the All of this should be taken *modulo* the important caveat that at  $FWHM = 0.^{\prime\prime}22$  resolution, most of the LBGs are *not* exquisitely resolved: they are typically very small, having only a few resolution elements within th resolution, most of the LBGs are *not* exquisitely resolved: they are typically very small, having only a few resolution elements within their isophotally detectable areas, and, thus, many details are surely lost except pe It is striking only a few resolution elements within their isophotally detectable areas,<br>d, thus, many details are surely lost except perhaps for the few, largest objects.<br>It is striking that among the NICMOS images of app

(those with and without spectroscopic redshifts), virtually *none* resembles a `clas-<br>(those with and without spectroscopic redshifts), virtually *none* resembles a `clas-<br>sical' Hubble sequence spiral galaxy. Giant disc g It is striking that among the NICMOS images of approximately 100 HDF LBGs (those with and without spectroscopic redshifts), virtually *none* resembles a 'classical' Hubble sequence spiral galaxy. Giant disc galaxies are f (those with and without spectroscopic redshifts), virtually *none* resembles a 'classical' Hubble sequence spiral galaxy. Giant disc galaxies are found in the HDF and elsewhere out to at least  $z \approx 1.3$  (see examples from sical' Hubble sequence spiral galaxy. Giant disc galaxies are found in the HDF and elsewhere out to at least  $z \approx 1.3$  (see examples from the NICMOS in, for example, Bunker (1999) and Dickinson (2000)), and various studie ple, Bunker  $(1999)$  and Dickinson  $(2000)$ , and various studies have found that their ple, Bunker (1999) and Dickinson (2000)), and various studies have found that their<br>structural properties and comoving abundances have not changed dramatically since<br> $z \approx 1$  (Lilly *et al.* 1998; Simard *et al.* 1999). At structural properties and comoving abundances have not changed dramatically since  $z \approx 1$  (Lilly *et al.* 1998; Simard *et al.* 1999). At  $z > 2$ , however, there appear to be no objects with immediately recognizable spiral  $z \approx 1$  (Lilly *et al.* 1998; Simard *et al.* 1999). At  $z > 2$ , however, there appear to be no objects with immediately recognizable spiral structure, no bulges surrounded by symmetric, diffuse discs, nor even good candid be no objects with immediately recognizable spiral structure, no bulges surrounded<br>by symmetric, diffuse discs, nor even good candidates for thin, edge-on discs (some<br>LBGs are fairly elongated, but none could really be mi by symmetric, diffuse discs, nor even good candidates for thin, edge-on discs (some<br>LBGs are fairly elongated, but none could really be mistaken for an edge-on spiral).<br>Among the objects shown in figure 1, perhaps 2–585.1 LBGs are fairly elongated, but none could really be mistaken for an edge-on spiral).<br>Among the objects shown in figure 1, perhaps  $2-585.1$  ( $z = 2.008$ ) appears closest to showing spiral structure at rest-frame optical wa Among the objects shown in figure 1, perhaps 2–585.1 ( $z = 2.008$ ) appears closest to showing spiral structure at rest-frame optical wavelengths, but this requires some imagination. Given the evidence from the NICMOS, the some imagination. Given the evidence from the NICMOS, the absence of classical  $\Box$  spiral morphologies cannot be attributed solely to rest-frame wavelength effects. We  $\Box$  have carried out simulations using optical rest some imagination. Given the evidence from the NICMOS, the absence of classical<br>spiral morphologies cannot be attributed solely to rest-frame wavelength effects. We<br>have carried out simulations using optical rest-frame ima spiral morphologies cannot be attributed solely to rest-frame wavelength effects. We<br>have carried out simulations using optical rest-frame images of Virgo cluster spirals<br>and of HDF disc galaxies at  $z < 1$ , artificially i and of HDF disc galaxies at  $z < 1$ , artificially inserted into the NICMOS images at high redshift with the appropriate surface-brightness dimming and PSF convolution. and of HDF disc galaxies at  $z < 1$ , artificially inserted into the NICMOS images at high redshift with the appropriate surface-brightness dimming and PSF convolution.<br>Although low surface brightnesses and limited angular high redshift with the appropriate surface-brightness dimming and PSF convolution.<br>Although low surface brightnesses and limited angular resolution wipe out many of<br>the details of spiral structure, giant  $(L > L^*)$  disc gala Although low surface brightnesses and limited angular resolution wipe out many of the details of spiral structure, giant  $(L > L^*)$  disc galaxies should be detectable and recognizable at  $z > 2$  even with *no* luminosity or s the details of spiral structure, giant  $(L > L^*)$  disc galaxies should be detectable and<br>recognizable at  $z > 2$  even with no luminosity or surface-brightness evolution. This<br>is not to say that LBGs cannot be disc galaxies: a recognizable at  $z > 2$  even with no luminosity or surface-brightness evolution. This is not to say that LBGs cannot be disc galaxies: as already noted, the small angular sizes of most LBGs preclude detailed resolution, and some of these objects could well<br> $+4-52$  is one of the few  $z > 2$  galaxies detected at 8.5 GHz by Richards *et al.* (1998). The radio

centroid is coincident with the diffuse, red, IR-bright region in the galaxy.

2006<br>be small discs. Indeed, Giavalisco *et al.* (1996) noted exponential surface-brightness<br>profiles among some LBGs. be small discs. Indeed, Giaval<br>profiles among some LBGs.<br>Giavalisco *et al.* (1996) also small discs. Indeed, Giavalisco *et al.* (1996) noted exponential surface-brightness<br>ofiles among some LBGs.<br>Giavalisco *et al.* (1996) also found that some LBGs have  $R^{1/4}$ -law profiles, although<br>is not clear whether t

profiles among some LBGs.<br>Giavalisco *et al.* (1996) also found that some LBGs have  $R^{1/4}$ -law profiles, although<br>it is not clear whether to interpret this as indicating a relation between LBGs and<br>present-day elliptica Giavalisco *et al.* (1996) also found that some LBGs have  $R^{1/4}$ -law profiles, although<br>it is not clear whether to interpret this as indicating a relation between LBGs and<br>present-day ellipticals and bulges. It is worth it is not clear whether to interpret this as indicating a relation between LBGs and<br>present-day ellipticals and bulges. It is worth noting, however, that there are few<br>candidates for intrinsically *red* elliptical galaxie present-day ellipticals and bulges. It is worth noting, however, that there are few candidates for intrinsically *red* elliptical galaxies at  $z > 2$  in the HDF, i.e. objects that would be recognized as elliptical galaxies candidates for intrinsically *red* elliptical galaxies at  $z > 2$  in the HDF, i.e. objects that would be recognized as elliptical galaxies today both by morphology and by the characteristic colour signature of an older ste that would be recognized as elliptical galaxies today both by morphology and by the characteristic colour signature of an older stellar population. In fact, considering objects with spectroscopic or photometric redshifts the characteristic colour signature of an older stellar population. In fact, considering<br>objects with spectroscopic or photometric redshifts in the range  $2 < z < 4$ , where<br>the NICMOS+KPNO IR data still reach the optical res objects with spectroscopic or photometric redshifts in the range  $2 < z < 4$ , where<br>the NICMOS+KPNO IR data still reach the optical rest frame, there are only a<br>handful of galaxies with rest-frame colours redder than that of the NICMOS+KPNO IR data still reach the optical rest frame, there are only a handful of galaxies with rest-frame colours redder than that of a present-day Scd spiral (i.e. an actively star-forming galaxy), even in an IR-se handful of galaxies with rest-frame colours redder than that of a present-day Scd<br>spiral (i.e. an actively star-forming galaxy), even in an IR-selected sample, which<br>should have no bias against such objects. I return to t spiral (i.e. an actively star-forming galaxy), even in an IR-selected sample, which<br>should have no bias against such objects. I return to this point in  $\S 4$  below. Nearly<br>every HDF galaxy at  $z > 2$  that is detectable at should have no bias against such objects. I return to this point in § 4 below. Nearly<br>every HDF galaxy at  $z > 2$  that is detectable at 1.6  $\mu$ m appears to be forming stars,<br>and most quite vigorously. We *do* find apparen every HDF galaxy at  $z > 2$  that is detectable at 1.6  $\mu$ m appears to be forming stars, and most quite vigorously. We *do* find apparently red, dead ellipticals in the HDF out to (photometric) redshifts of  $z \approx 1.8$  (cf. and most quite vigorously. We do find apparently red, dead ellipticals in the HDF out to (photometric) redshifts of  $z \approx 1.8$  (cf. Dickinson 2000; Stanford *et al.* 2000), but only one (marginally) viable candidate for a out to (photometric) redshifts of  $z \approx 1.8$  (cf. Dickinson 2000; Stanford *et al.* 2000), but only one (marginally) viable candidate for a red elliptical at higher redshift.<br>This is the so-called 'J-dropout' object HDFN-J but only one (marginally) viable candidate for a red elliptical at higher redshift.<br>This is the so-called 'J-dropout' object HDFN-JD1 (see  $\S 6$ ), whose colours might<br>be matched by those of a maximally old elliptical gala This is the sc<br>be matched b<br>*al*. 2000).<sup>†</sup><br>Overall it. matched by those of a maximally old elliptical galaxy at  $3 < z < 4$  (Dickinson *et* 2000).<sup>†</sup><br>Overall, it seems that the maturation of the giant spiral and elliptical galaxies<br>ok place at  $z < 2$ . Even with extremely deep, h

al. 2000).<sup>†</sup><br>Overall, it seems that the maturation of the giant spiral and elliptical galaxies<br>took place at  $z < 2$ . Even with extremely deep, high-angular-resolution IR images<br>like the HDF/NICMOS data, we find few (if a Overall, it seems that the maturation of the giant spiral and elliptical galaxies took place at  $z < 2$ . Even with extremely deep, high-angular-resolution IR images like the HDF/NICMOS data, we find few (if any) mature spi took place at  $z < 2$ . Even with extremely deep, high-angular-resolution IR images<br>like the HDF/NICMOS data, we find few (if any) mature spirals or ellipticals (or<br>candidates for such) at higher redshift. By  $z = 1$ , many ( like the HDF/NICMOS data, we find few (if any) mature spirals or ellipticals (or candidates for such) at higher redshift. By  $z = 1$ , many (if not necessarily all) large spirals and red giant ellipticals were already in pl candidates for such) at higher redshift. By  $z = 1$ , many (if not necessarily all) large<br>spirals and red giant ellipticals were already in place, pointing to the redshift range<br> $1 < z < 2$  as an important 'golden age' for the  $1 < z < 2$  as an important 'golden age' for the formation of the Hubble sequence.<br>3. Galaxy colours at  $2 < z < 3.5$ 

**MATHEMATICAL,<br>PHYSICAL<br>& ENGINEERING<br>SCIENCES** 3. Galaxy colours at  $2 < z < 3.5$ <br>Figure 2 shows a photometric compendium of spectroscopically confirmed HDF<br>galaxies at  $2 < z < 3.5$  all shifted to the rest frame and normalized to a com-Figure 2 shows a photometric compendium of spectroscopically confirmed HDF galaxies at  $2 < z < 3.5$ , all shifted to the rest frame and normalized to a com-<br>mon flux density at  $\lambda_0 2000 \text{ Å}$ . The shaded envelope is define Figure 2 shows a photometric compendium of spectroscopically confirmed HDF galaxies at  $2 < z < 3.5$ , all shifted to the rest frame and normalized to a common flux density at  $\lambda_0$ 2000 Å. The shaded envelope is defined by l galaxies at  $2 < z < 3.5$ , all shifted to the rest frame and normalized to a com-<br>mon flux density at  $\lambda_0$ 2000 Å. The shaded envelope is defined by local UV-to-optical<br>starburst galaxy spectral templates from Kinney *et al* mon flux density at  $\lambda_0$ 2000 Å. The shaded envelope is defined by local UV-to-optical<br>starburst galaxy spectral templates from Kinney *et al.* (1996), which span a broad<br>range in optical–UV extinction. The HDF LBGs fall starburst galaxy spectral templates from Kinney *et al.* (1996), which span a broad<br>range in optical–UV extinction. The HDF LBGs fall comfortably within the range of<br>SED shapes defined by the local starbursts. They have re range in optical–UV extinction. The HDF LBGs fall comfortably within the range of SED shapes defined by the local starbursts. They have relatively blue (but usually not flat spectrum) UV continua, with a flux increase and SED shapes defined by the local starbursts. They have relatively blue (but usually not flat spectrum) UV continua, with a flux increase and spectral inflection around the Balmer/4000 Å break region that indicates the pres not flat spectrum) UV continua, with a flux increase and spectral inflection around<br>the Balmer/4000 Å break region that indicates the presence of older (A and later)<br>stars, which apparently contribute a significant fracti the Balmer/4000 Å break region that indicates the presence of older (A and later)<br>stars, which apparently contribute a significant fraction of the rest-frame optical<br>light. The fact that the UV continuum slope for LBGs is stars, which apparently contribute a significant fraction of the rest-frame optical<br>light. The fact that the UV continuum slope for LBGs is nearly always redder than<br>flat spectrum has generally been interpreted as an indi light. The fact that the UV continuum slope for LBGs is nearly always redder than<br>flat spectrum has generally been interpreted as an indication of dust extinction (see,<br>for example, Meurer *et al.* 1997, 1999; Dickinson 19 flat spectrum has generally been interpreted as an indication of dust extinction (see,<br>for example, Meurer *et al.* 1997, 1999; Dickinson 1998; Pettini *et al.* 1998), although<br>for some objects it might also result from an for example, Meurer *et al.* 1997, 1999; Dickinson 1998; Pettini *et al.* 1998), although<br>for some objects it might also result from an ageing stellar population with declining<br>or inactive star formation. Considered indiv SO for some objects it might also result from an ageing stellar population with declining<br>or inactive star formation. Considered individually, the large majority of HDF LBGs<br>are reasonably well fit by the Kinney *et al.* (19 or inactive star formation. Considered individually, the large majority of HDF LBGs are reasonably well fit by the Kinney *et al.* (1996) starbursts with modest reddening  $(0 < E(B - V) < 0.21)$ . Few approach the more-heavily r are reasonably well fit by the Kinney *et al.* (1996) starbursts with modest reddening

<sup>y</sup> In fact, HDFN-JD1 is probably too red for an old stellar population at high redshift without invoking dust, an unusual initial mass function (IMF), or an unfashionable cosmological model.

ROYAL

THE

*(SICAL<br>NGINEERING* **ATHEMATICAL** 

THE ROYA

**PHILOSOPHICAL**<br>TRANSACTIONS p

Ξ

**PHILOSOPHICAL**<br>TRANSACTIONS



Figure 2. Photometry for 27 HDF galaxies with spectroscopic redshifts  $2 < z < 3.5$ , shifted to the rest frame and normalized at 2000 Å. The shaded region spans the range of empirical starburst templates from Kinney *et al.* Figure 2. Photometry for 27 HDF galaxies with spectroscopic redshifts  $2 < z < 3.5$ , shifted<br>to the rest frame and normalized at 2000 Å. The shaded region spans the range of empirical<br>starburst templates from Kinney *et al.* to the rest frame and normalized at 2000 Å. The shaded region spans the range of empirical starburst templates from Kinney *et al.* (1996). The starburst SED sequence is primarily defined by reddening up to  $E(B - V) \leq 0.7$ 

objects for which redshifts were successfully measured, and which were selected for spectroscopy by their UV colours (but see  $\S 4$  below).

spectroscopy by their UV colours (but see  $\S 4$  below).<br>With photometry spanning the UV-to-optical rest frame it becomes interesting<br>to compare the LBG photometry with population synthesis models to look for con-<br>straints **MATHEMATICAL,<br>PHYSICAL<br>& ENGINEERING<br>SCIENCES** With photometry spanning the UV-to-optical rest frame it becomes interesting<br>to compare the LBG photometry with population synthesis models to look for con-<br>straints on galaxy ages, reddening, and star formation histories. With photometry spanning the UV-to-optical rest frame it becomes interesting straints on galaxy ages, reddening, and star formation histories. Very roughly, if the UV spectral slope provides a measure of extinction (modulo an assumed reddening straints on galaxy ages, reddening, and star formation histories. Very roughly, if the UV spectral slope provides a measure of extinction (modulo an assumed reddening law), then the UV-optical flux ratio, and, particularly UV spectral slope provides a measure of extinction (modulo an assumed reddening<br>law), then the UV-optical flux ratio, and, particularly, the amplitude of any inflection<br>around the Balmer/4000 Å break region, may help const around the Balmer/4000  $\AA$  break region, may help constrain the past star-formation history, particularly the ratio of older stars to ongoing star formation. This was first around the Balmer/4000 Å break region, may help constrain the past star-formation<br>history, particularly the ratio of older stars to ongoing star formation. This was first<br>done by Sawicki & Yee (1998) using ground-based JH history, particularly the ratio of older stars to ongoing star formation. This was first<br>done by Sawicki & Yee (1998) using ground-based JHK<sub>s</sub> photometry for LBGs in the<br>HDF. Their results favoured young ages (median val done by Sawicki & Yee (1998) using ground-based JHK<sub>s</sub> photometry for LBGs in the HDF. Their results favoured young ages (median value *ca*. 25 Myr) and fairly heavy reddening (typical  $E(B-V) \approx 0.28$ , or 3 mag extinction a HDF. Their results favoured young ages (median value *ca.* 25 Myr) and fairly heavy reddening (typical  $E(B-V) \approx 0.28$ , or 3 mag extinction at 1600 Å assuming Calzetti (1997) starburst dust attenuation). We have carried out reddening (typical  $E(B-V) \approx 0.28$ , or 3 mag extinction at 1600 Å assuming Calzetti (1997) starburst dust attenuation). We have carried out a similar exercise using the NICMOS data and K-band fluxes rederived from the KPNO (1997) starburst dust attenuation). We have carried out a similar exercise using the NICMOS data and  $K$ -band fluxes rederived from the KPNO data using a technique (much like that of Fernández-Soto *et al.* (1999)) that p NICMOS data and K-band fluxes rederived from the KPNO data using a technique<br>(much like that of Fernández-Soto *et al.* (1999)) that properly matches photometry<br>from images with very different angular resolutions. A compl (much like that of Fernández-Soto *et al.* (1999)) that properly matches photometry<br>from images with very different angular resolutions. A complete presentation will be<br>given in Papovich *et al.* (2000) and is beyond the s from images with very different angular resoluti<br>given in Papovich *et al.* (2000) and is beyond<br>but I summarize some important points here.<br>Even with precise NICMOS photometry con Given in Papovich *et al.* (2000) and is beyond the scope of the present discussion,<br>but I summarize some important points here.<br>Even with precise NICMOS photometry, constraints on ages and reddening are

quite loose. This is, in part, because of the usual degeneracies in tting models to Even with precise NICMOS photometry, constraints on ages and reddening are<br>quite loose. This is, in part, because of the usual degeneracies in fitting models to<br>broadband colours (age versus metallicity versus extinction), quite loose. This is, in part, because of the usual degeneracies in fitting models to broadband colours (age versus metallicity versus extinction), but also because the available photometry simply does not reach long-enou broadband colours (age versus metallicity versus extinction), but also because the available photometry simply does not reach long-enough rest-frame wavelengths. At  $z > 3$ , only the lower- $S/N$  ground-based K<sub>s</sub> data exten  $z > 3$ , only the lower-*S*/*N* ground-based  $K_s$  data extend redward of the Balmer/<br>*Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond.* A (2000)

YSICAL<br>INGINEERING **ATHEMATICAL** THE ROYA **PHILOSOPHICAL**<br>TRANSACTIONS p

**MATHEMATICAL,<br>PHYSICAL<br>& ENGINEERING<br>SCIENCES** 

**HH** 

**PHILOSOPHICAL**<br>TRANSACTIONS



Figure 3. Combined confidence intervals (68%, 95%, 99.7%) for population synthesis model fits<br>to a sample of 16 HDF LBGs with spectroscopic redshifts  $2 < z < 2.95$  (from Papovich *et al.*) Figure 3. Combined confidence intervals (68%, 95%, 99.7%) for population synthesis model fits<br>to a sample of 16 HDF LBGs with spectroscopic redshifts  $2 < z < 2.95$  (from Papovich *et al.*<br>(2000)). A wide range of G. Bruzual to a sample of 16 HDF LBGs with spectroscopic redshifts  $2 < z < 2.95$  (from Papovich *et al.* (2000)). A wide range of G. Bruzual & S. Charlot (1996, personal communication) models has been considered with varying age (*t*) (2000)). A wide range of G. Bruzual & S. Charlot (1996, personal communication) models has<br>been considered with varying age (t), star-formation histories (exponential time-scale  $\tau$ ), dust<br>attenuation ( $E(B - V)$ , here assu attenuation  $(E(B - V))$ , here assuming the Calzetti (1997) starburst law), metallicity, and IMF.<br>Here, results for the 16 objects have been averaged—weighted by their individual probability attenuation  $(E(B - V))$ , here assuming the Calzetti (1997) starburst law), metallicity, and IMF.<br>Here, results for the 16 objects have been averaged—weighted by their individual probability<br>distributions—to show the ensemble Here, results for the 16 objects have been averaged—weighted by their individual probability<br>distributions—to show the ensemble likelihood distributions for  $E(B-V)$ , t and  $\tau$ . Best-fitting<br>values for each object are mark distributions—to show the ensemble likelihood distributions for  $E(B-V)$ , t and  $\tau$ . Best-fitting values for each object are marked by points in part (a). Dependences on metallicity and IMF have been collapsed, but are fai values for each object are marked by points in part (*a*). Dependences on metallicity and IMF<br>have been collapsed, but are fairly weak. The cross-hairs in (*a*) indicate the most-favoured values<br>for  $E(B - V)$  versus t. The have been collapsed, but are fairly weak. The cross-hairs in (*a*) indicate the most-favoured values<br>for  $E(B - V)$  versus t. The dashed line in (*b*) marks  $t = \tau$ . Models observed after the bulk of<br>their star formation has for  $E(B - V)$  versus t. The dashed line in (b) marks  $t = \tau$ . Models observed after the bulk of their star formation has been completed (i.e.  $t \gg \tau$ ) are disfavoured, except at young ages ( $\lesssim$  a few  $\times 10^8$  yr) and sho ( $\lesssim$  a few  $\times$  10<sup>8</sup> yr) and short time-scales, when the UV continuum can persist throughout the main sequence lifetimes of B stars.

4000 Å break region; for this reason, I only consider galaxies at  $2 < z < 3$  here. 4000 Å break region; for this reason, I only consider galaxies at  $2 < z < 3$  here.<br>For each galaxy, we may define confidence intervals in the multidimensional space<br>of the various fitting parameters such as age. SFR e-foldi 4000 Å break region; for this reason, I only consider galaxies at  $2 < z < 3$  here.<br>For each galaxy, we may define confidence intervals in the multidimensional space<br>of the various fitting parameters such as age, SFR e-foldi For each galaxy, we may define confidence intervals in the multidimensional space<br>of the various fitting parameters such as age, SFR e-folding time-scale, reddening,<br>metallicity and IMF. The colour degeneracies allow fairl of the various fitting parameters such as age, SFR e-folding time-scale, reddening,<br>metallicity and IMF. The colour degeneracies allow fairly broad ranges in acceptable<br>parameters for each object, and the variety among the metallicity and IMF. The colour degeneracies allow fairly broad ranges in acceptable<br>parameters for each object, and the variety among the galaxy SEDs (figure 2) scatters<br>the best-fitting parameters throughout a range of v parameters for each object, and the variety among the galaxy SEDs (it<br>the best-fitting parameters throughout a range of values. Neverthel<br>ensemble, certain regions of model parameter space are preferred.<br>Figure 3 shows a c the best-fitting parameters throughout a range of values. Nevertheless, taken as an ensemble, certain regions of model parameter space are preferred.<br>Figure 3 shows a composite distribution for 16 galaxies with  $z < 3$ , wh

fits for each object have been averaged, weighted by their likelihoods in the multi-Figure 3 shows a composite distribution for 16 galaxies with  $z < 3$ , where model<br>fits for each object have been averaged, weighted by their likelihoods in the multi-<br>parameter space. The contours thus indicate a distribut fits for each object have been averaged, weighted by their likelihoods in the multi-<br>parameter space. The contours thus indicate a distribution of likely parameter values<br>for the ensemble of UV-selected LBGs. The best-fit parameter space. The contours thus indicate a distribution of likely parameter values<br>for the ensemble of UV-selected LBGs. The best-fitting values of  $E(B-V)$  versus age<br>for individual objects are marked by dots. Some gala for the ensemble of UV-selected LBGs. The best-fitting values of  $E(B-V)$  versus age<br>for individual objects are marked by dots. Some galaxies can be fit reasonably well<br>by parameter values falling toward the outer contours for individual objects are marked by dots. Some galaxies can be fit reasonably well<br>by parameter values falling toward the outer contours of the ensemble distribution,<br>but the majority occupy the higher confidence regions by parameter values falling toward the outer contours of the ensemble distribution,<br>but the majority occupy the higher confidence regions. The most-favoured age range<br>spans 0.03–1 Gyr, with extinction  $0 < E(B-V) < 0.25$ . The but the majority occupy the higher confidence regions. The most-favoured age range spans 0.03–1 Gyr, with extinction  $0 < E(B-V) < 0.25$ . The extinction values agree well with the comparison with the Kinney *et al.* (1996) sta spans 0.03–1 Gyr, with extinction  $0 < E(B-V) < 0.25$ . The extinction values agree well with the comparison with the Kinney *et al.* (1996) starburst templates (figure 2), which is not unexpected given that the Calzetti attenu which is not unexpected given that the Calzetti attenuation law is derived in part which is not unexpected given that the Calzetti attenuation law is derived in part<br>from the same UV spectral data on local starburst galaxies. The range of likely age<br>and extinction values becomes slightly smaller and can from the same UV spectral data on local starburst galaxies. The range of likely age<br>and extinction values becomes slightly smaller and can shift somewhat if restrictions<br>on metallicity or IMF are adopted, although, in gene and extinction values becomes slightly smaller and can shift somewhat if restrictions<br>on metallicity or IMF are adopted, although, in general, the broadband photometry<br>offers little constraint on these parameters. Younger on metallicity or IMF are adopted, although, in general, the broadband photometry offers little constraint on these parameters. Younger ages and larger extinctions are allowed and even favoured for some objects, but, in ge *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond.* A (2000)

*The first galaxies* 2009 Downloaded from [rsta.royalsocietypublishing.org](http://rsta.royalsocietypublishing.org/)

The first galaxies 2009<br>are somewhat older and less reddened than those found by Sawicki & Yee (1998). This may be due to more-precise photometry from the NICMOS, or to better control are somewhat older and less reddened than those found by Sawicki & Yee (1998).<br>This may be due to more-precise photometry from the NICMOS, or to better control<br>of the relative optical–IR colours (particularly in the K-ban method. the relative optical–IR colours (particularly in the  $K$ -band) from our photometric<br>ethod.<br>It is not clear whether the apparent anticorrelation between age and extinction in<br>ure 3 is significant. We expect degeneracy betw

It is not clear whether the apparent anticorrelation between age and extinction in figure 3 is significant. We expect degeneracy between the age and extinction values It is not clear whether the apparent anticorrelation between age and extinction in<br>figure 3 is significant. We expect degeneracy between the age and extinction values<br>fit to individual objects, but the overall trend seen i figure 3 is significant. We expect degeneracy between the age and extinction values<br>fit to individual objects, but the overall trend seen in the population may be greater<br>than would be expected from the fitting uncertaint fit to individual objects, but the overall trend seen in the population may be greater<br>than would be expected from the fitting uncertainties alone. The 'most-favoured'<br>extinction value,  $E(B - V) \approx 0.12$ , corresponds to  $A(1$ than would be expected from the fitting uncertainties alone. The 'most-favoured'<br>extinction value,  $E(B - V) \approx 0.12$ , corresponds to  $A(1700 \text{ Å}) \approx 1.2 \text{ mag}$ , or a factor<br>of approximately 3. The *net* UV extinction for the s extinction value,  $E(B - V) \approx 0.12$ , corresponds to  $A(1700 \text{ A}) \approx 1.2 \text{ mag}$ , or a factor of approximately 3. The *net* UV extinction for the sample (and, hence, the correction to any derived global star-formation rate) woul of approximately 3. The *net* UV extinc<br>to any derived global star-formation is<br>objects with the greatest reddening.<br>A characteristic time-scale for LB any derived global star-formation rate) would be larger, however, driven by the jects with the greatest reddening.<br>A characteristic time-scale for LBGs can be defined from their sizes (median  $\Delta f$ -light radii of  $ca$  2.2

half-light radii of *ca*. 2.2 kpc for the HDF LBGs) and typical velocity dispersions be defined from the HDF LBGs) and typical velocity dispersions A characteristic time-scale for LBGs can be defined from their sizes (median half-light radii of *ca*. 2.2 kpc for the HDF LBGs) and typical velocity dispersions (*ca*. 80 km s<sup>-1</sup>; see Pettini, this issue). This yields half-light radii of ca. 2.2 kpc for the HDF LBGs) and typical velocity dispersions (ca. 80 km s<sup>-1</sup>; see Pettini, this issue). This yields  $t_c \sim 25$  Myr. If the UV light is due to ongoing star formation, we would not expe (*ca.* 80 km s<sup>-1</sup>; see Pettini, this issue). This yields  $t_c \sim 25$  Myr. If the UV light is due<br>to ongoing star formation, we would not expect SFR lifetimes  $\ll t_c$ , and, indeed, this<br>is roughly the lower bound of the best to ongoing star formation, we would not expect S<br>is roughly the lower bound of the best-fit model<br>value being  $ca$ . 135 Myr, or approximately  $5t_c$ . T<br>estimated for star formation in galactic-scale st to ongoing star formation, we would not expect SFR lifetimes  $\ll t_c$ , and, indeed, this is roughly the lower bound of the best-fit model age range, with the 'most-favoured' value being *ca*. 135 Myr, or approximately  $5t_c$ is roughly the lower bound of the best-fit model age range, with the 'most-favoured'<br>value being ca. 135 Myr, or approximately  $5t_c$ . This range is not dissimilar to that<br>estimated for star formation in galactic-scale sta value being  $ca. 135$  Myr, or<br>estimated for star formation<br>infrared galaxies) locally. infrared galaxies) locally.<br>4. Rest-frame UV selection: what do we miss?

4. Rest-frame UV selection: what do we miss?<br>Using an IR-selected catalogue, we may ask what galaxies might be missed altogether<br>by Lyman-break colour selection keved to the rest-frame UV light. In particular, one Using an IR-selected catalogue, we may ask what galaxies might be missed altogether<br>by Lyman-break colour selection keyed to the rest-frame UV light. In particular, one<br>might expect some red high-redshift galaxies, either Using an IR-selected catalogue, we may ask what galaxies might be missed altogether<br>by Lyman-break colour selection keyed to the rest-frame UV light. In particular, one<br>might expect some red high-redshift galaxies, either by Lyman-break colour selection keyed to the rest-frame UV light. In particular, one might expect some red high-redshift galaxies, either because they are not actively forming stars or because of extinction, that would 'd might expect some red high-redshift galaxies, either because they are not actively<br>forming stars or because of extinction, that would 'drop out' of the dropout samples.<br>Here, I restrict my analysis to  $H_{160} < 26$ , where forming stars or because of extinction, that would 'drop out' of the dropout samples.<br>Here, I restrict my analysis to  $H_{160} < 26$ , where we believe our catalogues are highly<br>complete, uncontaminated by spurious sources, Here, I restrict my analysis to  $H_{160} < 26$ , where we believe our catalogues are highly complete, uncontaminated by spurious sources, and where the NICMOS photometry has  $S/N \ge 10$ . At  $z = 2.75$ ,  $H_{160} < 26$  corresponds complete, uncontaminated by spurious sources, and where the NICMOS photometry<br>has  $S/N \ge 10$ . At  $z = 2.75$ ,  $H_{160} < 26$  corresponds to rest frame  $M_B < -19.36$  for<br>the adopted cosmology, or *ca*. 1 mag fainter than present- $_{\rm B}^*$ . Th has  $S/N \gtrsim 10$ . At  $z = 2.75$ ,  $H_{160} < 26$  corresponds to rest frame  $M_B < -19.36$  for<br>the adopted cosmology, or ca. 1 mag fainter than present-day  $L_B^*$ . The typical LBG<br>at  $H_{160} \approx 26$  has  $V_{606} \approx 27$ , the practical the adopted cosmology, or ca. 1 mag fainter than present-day  $L_{\rm B}^*$ . The typical LBG at  $H_{160} \approx 26$  has  $V_{606} \approx 27$ , the practical limit for HDF  $U_{300}$ -dropout selection using standard two-colour criteria, but r at  $H_{160} \approx 26$  has  $V_{606} \approx 27$ , the practical limit for using standard two-colour criteria, but red galaxies luminosities might be fainter or absent in the UV.<br>At  $H_{160} < 26$  there is only one object that is under using standard two-colour criteria, but red galaxies with similar rest-frame optical<br>luminosities might be fainter or absent in the UV.<br>At  $H_{160} < 26$  there is only one object that is undetected with  $S/N < 2$  in  $V_{606}$ 

 $I_{814}$  (both, in this case): this is the 'J-dropout' HDFN-JD1 (see also  $\S2$  and 6). In  $\blacktriangleright$  fact, this is the only NICMOS-selected object with  $H_{160} < 26$  and  $S/N(I_{814}) < 6.5$ . Two other objects have  $S/N(V_{606}) < 3$ ; both are  $z \geq 5$  ' $V_{606}$ -dropout' candidates fact, this is the only NICMOS-selected object with  $H_{160} < 26$  and  $S/N(I_{814}) < 6.5$ .<br>Two other objects have  $S/N(V_{606}) < 3$ ; both are  $z \ge 5$  ' $V_{606}$ -dropout' candidates identified by Lanzetta *et al.* (1996) and Fernánde Two other objects have  $S/N(V_{606}) < 3$ ; both are  $z \ge 5$   $V_{606}$ -dropout' candidates identified by Lanzetta *et al.* (1996) and Fernández-Soto *et al.* (1999), one of which (3–951) was spectroscopically confirmed at  $z = 5.$ identified by Lanzetta *et al.* (1996) and Fernández-Soto *et al.* (1999), one of which (3–951) was spectroscopically confirmed at  $z = 5.33$  (Spinrad *et al.* 1998). Thus, the only possible candidate for a NICMOS-selected  $\neg$  HDFN-JD1. ly possible candidate for a NICMOS-selected, 'UV-invisible' galaxy at  $z \sim 3$  is<br>DFN-JD1.<br>Next let us consider UV-bright objects that might, nevertheless, have been missed<br>the LBG colour criteria, using the seven-band pho

HDFN-JD1.<br>Next let us consider UV-bright objects that might, nevertheless, have been missed<br>by the LBG colour criteria, using the seven-band photometric redshift estimates for<br>all galaxies. In principle, these may identif Next let us consider UV-bright objects that might, nevertheless, have been missed<br>by the LBG colour criteria, using the seven-band photometric redshift estimates for<br>all galaxies. In principle, these may identify plausibl by the LBG colour criteria, using the seven-band photometric redshift estimates for all galaxies. In principle, these may identify plausible candidates at  $2 < z < 3.5$  that otherwise fall outside a given set of UV colour cr all galaxies. In principle, these may identify plausible candidates at  $2 < z < 3.5$ <br>that otherwise fall outside a given set of UV colour criteria, as long as their intrinsic<br>SEDs are 'recognizably similar' to those of galax that otherwise fall outside a given set of UV colour criter.<br>SEDs are 'recognizably similar' to those of galaxies at let<br>the templates used for the photometric redshift fitting. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond.* A (2000) *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond.* A (2000)

**ATHEMATICAL** 

**NO** 

YSICAL<br>ENGINEERING **ATHEMATICAL** 

THE ROYAI

**PHILOSOPHICAL**<br>TRANSACTIONS

**MATHEMATICAL,<br>PHYSICAL<br>& ENGINEERING<br>SCIENCES** 

**PHILOSOPHICAL**<br>TRANSACTIONS



Figure 4. Photometric and spectroscopic redshift distributions for IR-selected HDF galaxies<br>( $H_{160}$  < 26) at 1.5 < z < 4. The open histogram shows the z-hat distribution from Budavári *et* Figure 4. Photometric and spectroscopic redshift distributions for IR-selected HDF galaxies  $(H_{160} < 26)$  at  $1.5 < z < 4$ . The open histogram shows the  $z_{phot}$  distribution from Budavári *et*  $aI$  (2000). The hatched histogr *Figure 4. Photometric and spectroscopic redshift distributions for IR-selected HDF' galaxies*  $(H_{160} < 26)$  at  $1.5 < z < 4$ . The open histogram shows the  $z_{\text{phot}}$  distribution from Budavári *et al.* (2000). The hatched hi  $(H_{160} < 26)$  at  $1.5 < z < 4$ . The open histogram shows the  $z_{phot}$  distribution from *al.* (2000). The hatched histogram indicates galaxies that obey the Lyman-break critin the text, while the filled histogram shows the ava p

in the text, while the filled histogram shows the available spectroscopic redshifts.<br>Figure 4 shows the  $z_{\rm phot}$  distributions for all HDF galaxies with  $21 < H_{160} < 26$ , Figure 4 shows the  $z_{\text{phot}}$  distributions for all HDF galaxies with  $21 < H_{160} < 26$ ,<br>and for those that meet the  $U_{300}$  'dropout' criteria  $(U_{300} - B_{450}) > (B_{450} - V_{606}) + 1$ <br>and  $B_{450} - V_{606} < 1.2$  from Dickinson (1998 Figure 4 shows the  $z_{\text{phot}}$  distributions for all HDF galaxies with  $21 < H_{160} < 26$ ,<br>and for those that meet the  $U_{300}$  'dropout' criteria  $(U_{300} - B_{450}) > (B_{450} - V_{606}) + 1$ <br>and  $B_{450} - V_{606} < 1.2$  from Dickinson (1998 and  $B_{450} - V_{606} < 1.2$  from Dickinson (1998). There are 43 objects with  $2 < z_{phot} <$  3.5 which do *not* meet the LBG colour criteria. However, nearly all are at  $2 < z_{phot} <$  2.2 or 3.2  $< z_{phot} <$  3.5, and lie just outside the c and  $B_{450} - V_{606} < 1.2$  from Dickinson (1998). There are 43 objects with  $2 < z_{\text{phot}} < 3.5$  which do *not* meet the LBG colour criteria. However, nearly all are at  $2 < z_{\text{phot}} < 2.2$  or  $3.2 < z_{\text{phot}} < 3.5$ , and lie just outsi 3.5 which do *not* meet the LBG colour criteria. However, nearly all are at  $2 < z_{phot} <$  2.2 or 3.2  $< z_{phot} <$  3.5, and lie just outside the colour-selection boundaries defined here: either slightly too blue at low z, or sligh 2.2 or  $3.2 < z_{\text{phot}} < 3.5$ , and lie just outside the colour-selection boundaries defined<br>here: either slightly too blue at low z, or slightly too red at high z. This is expected:<br>the selection efficiency of the two-colour here: either slightly too blue at low  $z$ , or slightly too red at high  $z$ . This is expected:<br>the selection efficiency of the two-colour method is not uniform with redshift, and falls<br>off at the extremes of the range for the selection efficiency of the two-colour method is not uniform with redshift, and falls<br>off at the extremes of the range for which it is optimized (cf. Steidel *et al.* 1999). Only<br>seven 'missed' objects fall at interme off at the extremes of the range for which it is optimized (cf. Steidel *et al.* 1999). Only seven 'missed' objects fall at intermediate photometric redshifts,  $2.5 < z_{\text{phot}} < 3.1$ , and most of these are also just outside t seven 'missed' objects fall at intermediate photometric redshifts,  $2.5 < z_{\text{phot}} < 3.1$ ,<br>and most of these are also just outside the colour-selection box. Some are quite<br>interesting, including a  $\mu$ Jy radio source with ver and most of these are also just outside the colour-selection box. Some are quite<br>interesting, including a  $\mu$ Jy radio source with very red  $J_{110} - H_{160}$  colours, which<br>may be a dusty starburst or a fading post-starburs interesting, including a  $\mu$ Jy radio source with very red  $J_{110} - H_{160}$  colours, which<br>may be a dusty starburst or a fading post-starburst galaxy at  $z \sim 2.6$ . Others may<br>be scattered out of the box by photometry error may be a dusty starburst or a fading post-starburst galaxy at  $z \sim 2.6$ . C<br>be scattered out of the box by photometry errors (especially in  $U_{300}$ ), or<br>be at the indicated  $z_{\text{phot}}$ . But all are well detected in the opti scattered out of the box by photometry errors (especially in  $U_{300}$ ), or might not<br>at the indicated  $z_{\text{phot}}$ . But all are well detected in the optical HDF.<br>Overall, there is no evidence for a *substantial* population (

be at the indicated  $z_{\text{phot}}$ . But all are well detected in the optical HDF.<br>Overall, there is no evidence for a *substantial* population (by number) of galaxies at  $2 < z < 3.5$  that are missed by UV Lyman-break colour sele are detectable in the NIR. If there are energetically important but highly obscured at  $2 < z < 3.5$  that are missed by UV Lyman-break colour selection but that are detectable in the NIR. If there are energetically important but highly obscured galaxies at these redshifts, like those detected by SCUBA, then are detectable in the NIR. If there are energetically important but highly obscured<br>galaxies at these redshifts, like those detected by SCUBA, then they are either *also*<br>detectable with optical imaging data, or they are s galaxies at these redshifts, like those detected by SCUBA, then they are either *also*<br>detectable with optical imaging data, or they are so heavily enshrouded that even<br>the NICMOS cannot easily see them. For the five SMM s detectable with optical imaging data, or they are so heavily enshrouded that even<br>the NICMOS cannot easily see them. For the five SMM sources detected in the HDF<br>by Hughes *et al.* (1998), our NICMOS images do not reveal a the NICMOS cannot easily see them. For the five SMM sources detected in the HDF<br>by Hughes *et al.* (1998), our NICMOS images do not reveal any new counterparts<br>previously undetected by WFPC2, nor do any of the candidate id by Hughes *et al.* (1998), our NICMOS in<br>previously undetected by WFPC2, nor do<br>particularly unusual optical–IR colours. particularly unusual optical–IR colours.<br> **5. Galaxies at 4.5**  $\lesssim z \lesssim 9$ 

5. Galaxies at  $4.5 \lesssim z \lesssim 9$ <br>The successes of colour-selection techniques at  $2 \lesssim z \lesssim 4.5$  make it tempting to extend the methods to higher redshifts i.e. to search for  $V$ - or *I*-dropouts. Doing The successes of colour-selection techniques at  $2 \le z \le 4.5$  make it tempting to extend the methods to higher redshifts, i.e. to search for *V*- or *I*-dropouts. Doing extend the methods to higher redshifts, i.e. to search for *V*- or *I*-dropouts. Doing *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond.* A (2000)

*(SICAL<br>NGINEERING* **ATHEMATICAL** 

THE ROYAI

**PHILOSOPHICAL**<br>TRANSACTIONS

MATHEMATICAL

H

**PHILOSOPHICAL**<br>TRANSACTIONS



Figure 5. Apparent magnitude for an  $L^*$  ( $\lambda$  redshifted without evolution. No k-correction  $*$   $\wedge$ Figure 5. Apparent magnitude for an  $L^*$  ( $\lambda_0$ 1700 Å at  $z \approx 3$ ; see Steidel *et al.* (1999)) LBG redshifted without evolution. No k-correction is included: instead, the detection bandpass is taken to be fixed to  $\lambda_0$ Figure 5. Apparent magnitude for an  $L^*$  ( $\lambda_0$ 1700 A at  $z \approx 3$ ; see Steidel *et al.* (1999)) LBG redshifted without evolution. No *k*-correction is included: instead, the detection bandpass is taken to be fixed to  $\lambda$ redshifted without evolution. No k-correction is included: instead, the detection bandpass is<br>taken to be fixed to  $\lambda_0$ 1700 Å and changes with redshift (see top axis labels). An  $L^*$  LBG should<br>be detectable in the NIC taken to be fixed to  $\lambda_0$ 1700 A and changes with redshift be detectable in the NICMOS data  $(H_{160} < 26.5)$  with  $\Lambda < 0.8$ , and to  $z \approx 7.5$  for an open universe.

**;ical**<br>Gineering<br>Vices with  $\Lambda$  < 0.8, and to  $z \approx 7.5$  for an open universe.<br>so properly requires deep NIR data to provide at least one colour longward of the<br>redshifted 912 Å and 1216 Å breaks. Indeed, galaxies at  $z > 6.5$  should have virtua so properly requires deep NIR data to provide at least one colour longward of the redshifted 912 Å and 1216 Å breaks. Indeed, galaxies at  $z > 6.5$  should have virtually no detectable optical flux. Lanzetta *et al.* (1996) so properly requires deep NIR data to provide at least one colour longward of the redshifted 912 Å and 1216 Å breaks. Indeed, galaxies at  $z > 6.5$  should have virtually no detectable optical flux. Lanzetta *et al.* (1996) redshifted 912 Å and 1216 Å breaks. Indeed, galaxies at  $z > 6.5$  should have virtually<br>no detectable optical flux. Lanzetta *et al.* (1996) and Fernández-Soto *et al.* (1999)<br>identified candidate  $z \gtrsim 5$  HDF galaxies fr no detectable optical flux. Lanzetta *et al.* (1996) and Fernández-Soto *et al.* (1999) identified candidate  $z \ge 5$  HDF galaxies from  $V_{606} - I_{814}$  colours supplemented by IR limits from the KPNO data; two of these hav identified candidate  $z \geq 5$  HDF galaxies from  $V_{606} - I_{814}$  colours supplemented by<br>IR limits from the KPNO data; two of these have subsequently been confirmed via<br>spectroscopy (Weymann *et al.* 1998; Spinrad *et al.* IR limits from the KPNO data; two of these have subsequently been confirmed via<br>spectroscopy (Weymann *et al.* 1998; Spinrad *et al.* 1998). With the NICMOS we<br>can extend this to fainter limits and larger redshifts: an  $L$ spectroscopy (Weymann *et al.* 1998; Spinrad *et al.* 1998). With the NICMOS we<br>can extend this to fainter limits and larger redshifts: an  $L^*$  LBG (i.e.  $L^*$  in the rest<br>frame UV at  $z = 3$ ) should be detectable in the can extend this to fainter limits and larger redshifts: an  $L^*$  LBG (i.e.  $L^*$  in the rest can extend this to fainter limits and larger redshifts: an  $L^*$  LBG (i.e.  $L^*$  in the rest<br>frame UV at  $z = 3$ ) should be detectable in the NICMOS images with  $H_{160} < 26.5$ <br>out to  $z = 10$  for spatially flat cosmologies frame UV at  $z = 3$ ) should be detectabl<br>out to  $z = 10$  for spatially flat cosmolog<br> $\Omega_{\rm M} = 0.2$  open universe (see figure 5).<br>Here we test the null hypothesis that out to  $z = 10$  for spatially flat cosmologies with  $\Lambda \leq 0.8$ , and out to  $z \approx 7.5$  for an  $\Omega_{\rm M} = 0.2$  open universe (see figure 5).<br>Here we test the null hypothesis that the galaxy population at  $z \gg 3$  is similar

 $\Omega_{\text{M}} = 0.2$  open universe (see figure 5).<br>Here we test the null hypothesis that the galaxy population at  $z \gg 3$  is similar<br>to that of the  $U_{300}$ -dropout LBGs at  $z \sim 3$ , whose *observed* characteristics are, by<br>now Here we test the null hypothesis that the galaxy population at  $z \gg 3$  is similar<br>to that of the  $U_{300}$ -dropout LBGs at  $z \sim 3$ , whose *observed* characteristics are, by<br>now, reasonably well known even if their intrinsi to that of the  $U_{300}$ -dropout LBGs at  $z \sim 3$ , whose *observed* characteristics are, by now, reasonably well known even if their intrinsic properties, such as dust content, star-formation rate, mass, etc., are the subje now, reasonably well known even if their intrinsic properties, such as dust content, star-formation rate, mass, etc., are the subject of continued debate. In particular, we adopt the rest-frame UV luminosity function and U star-formation rate, mass, etc., are the subject of continued debate. In particular, we adopt the rest-frame UV luminosity function and UV spectral slope (i.e. intrinsic colour) distribution for LBGs at  $\langle z \rangle \approx 3$  derive we adopt the rest-frame UV luminosity function and UV spectral slope (i.e. intrinsic colour) distribution for LBGs at  $\langle z \rangle \approx 3$  derived in Steidel *et al.* (1999), and use this to predict what should be seen in colour-c colour) distribution for LBGs at  $\langle z \rangle \approx 3$  derived in Steidel *et al.* (1999), and use this to predict what should be seen in colour-colour diagrams *if* the same population were present at higher redshifts. We do this to predict what should be seen in colour-colour diagrams if the same population were present at higher redshifts. We do this via Monte Carlo simulations, including realistic errors for the HDF WFPC2 + NICMOS photometry, c

*Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond.* A (2000)

<sup>2012</sup> *M. Dickinson* Downloaded from [rsta.royalsocietypublishing.org](http://rsta.royalsocietypublishing.org/)



Figure 6. Two-colour diagrams for combinations of adjacent HDF WFPC2  $+$  NICMOS filters. Figure 6. Two-colour diagrams for combinations of adjacent HDF WFPC2 + NICMOS filters.<br>Symbol size scales with magnitude; known and suspected stars and a few bright galaxies have<br>been excluded for clarity. Triangles mark Figure 6. Two-colour diagrams for combinations of adjacent HDF WFPC2 + NICMOS filters.<br>Symbol size scales with magnitude; known and suspected stars and a few bright galaxies have<br>been excluded for clarity. Triangles mark been excluded for clarity. Triangles mark  $1\sigma$  lower colour limits; filled symbols indicate galaxies with spectroscopic redshifts. The lines show the nominal colour-versus-z tracks for various been excluded for clarity. Triangles mark  $1\sigma$  lower colour limits; filled symbols indicate galax-<br>ies with spectroscopic redshifts. The lines show the nominal colour-versus-z tracks for various<br>unevolving galaxy SEDs, a ies with spectroscopic redshifts. The lines show the nominal colour-versus-z tracks for various<br>unevolving galaxy SEDs, and the shaded region indicates the approximate colour range expected<br>for galaxies in redshift ranges for galaxies in redshift ranges appropriate to each colour pair  $(UBV: 2-3.5; BVI: 3.5-4.5; VIJ: 4.5-6; IJI: 6-8.5)$ . The colour-selection boxes used for the comparison with Monte Carlo simulations are indicated.  $\alpha$  of high-z objects that are predicted to fall in some specified colour-colour box with<br>the actual number of similar objects found in the HDF catalogues of high-z objects that are predicted to fall in some specified colour-<br>the actual number of similar objects found in the HDF catalogues.<br>Figure 6 shows a series of two-colour diagrams for the HDF each

 $\bullet$  the actual number of similar objects found in the HDF catalogues.<br>
Figure 6 shows a series of two-colour diagrams for the HDF, each using combinations of three adjacent bandpasses, from UBV (i.e.  $z \sim 3$  selection) through IJH Figure 6 shows a series of two-colour diagrams for the HDF, each using combina-<br>tions of three adjacent bandpasses, from  $UBV$  (i.e.  $z \sim 3$  selection) through  $IJIH$ <br>(i.e.  $z \sim 7$ ). In each case, I define somewhat arbitrar tions of three adjacent bandpasses, from  $UBV$  (i.e.  $z \sim 3$  selection) through  $IJIH$ <br>(i.e.  $z \sim 7$ ). In each case, I define somewhat arbitrary selection boxes based on the<br>expected location of high-redshift galaxies in co (i.e.  $z \sim 7$ ). In each case, I define somewhat arbitrary selection boxes based on the expected location of high-redshift galaxies in colour-colour space (and also to avoid low-redshift contaminants), then count the galax expected location of high-redshift galaxies in colour-colour space (a<br>low-redshift contaminants), then count the galaxies in those boxes a<br>number with the 'no-evolution' (NE) model predictions (figure 7). *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond.* A (2000) **Phil.** *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond.* A (2000)

**ATHEMATICAL** 

THE ROYA

ROYA

**THT** 

**HYSICAL**<br>ENGINEERING<br>CIENCES MATHEMATICAL

THE ROYAI

**PHILOSOPHICAL**<br>TRANSACTIONS p

**MATHEMATICAL,<br>PHYSICAL<br>& ENGINEERING** 

OYA

HH

**PHILOSOPHICAL**<br>TRANSACTIONS





 $J_{110}$  magnitude<br>Figure 7. Cumulative number counts of objects satisfying the high-redshift colour criteria shown<br>in figure 6. The irregular histograms are from the HDF data, and the 'smoother' histograms Figure 7. Cumulative number counts of objects satisfying the high-redshift colour criteria shown<br>in figure 6. The irregular histograms are from the HDF data, and the 'smoother' histograms<br>are predictions from the Monte Car in figure 6. The irregular histograms are from the HDF data, and the 'smoother' histograms<br>are predictions from the Monte Carlo simulations, which assume that the galaxy population at<br>high redshift is like that observed a are predictions from the Monte Carlo simulations, which assume that the galaxy population at  $\Omega_{\rm M} = 1.0$  and 0.2 (the open cosmology is the lower model in the VIJ and IJH plots). The high redshift is like that observed at  $z \approx 3$ . Models were computed for two  $\Lambda = 0$  cosmologies:<br>  $\Omega_M = 1.0$  and 0.2 (the open cosmology is the lower model in the *VIJ* and *IJH* plots). The<br>
vertical dashed line indicat  $M_M = 1.0$  and 0.2 (the open cosmology is the lower model in the  $VIJ$  and  $IJI$  plots). The vertical dashed line indicates a rough 'confidence limit' in magnitude for each plot, below which data should become significantly

the data should become significantly incomplete and/or contaminated by spurious sources.<br>The  $U_{300}$ -dropout counts agree well with the models by construction, since the The  $U_{300}$ -dropout counts agree well with the models by construction, since the input luminosity function is partly based on HDF data. The  $B_{450}$ -dropouts fall below the NE predictions. This is just the original Madau The  $U_{300}$ -dropout counts agree well with the models by construction, since the input luminosity function is partly based on HDF data. The  $B_{450}$ -dropouts fall below the NE predictions. This is just the original Madau input luminosity function is partly based on HDF data. The  $B_{450}$ -dropouts fall below<br>the NE predictions. This is just the original Madau *et al.* (1996) result revisited: the<br>HDF-north appears to have fewer galaxies at HDF-north appears to have fewer galaxies at  $z \sim 4$  than at  $z \sim 3$ . Steidel *et al.* (1999), who surveyed larger solid angles in several fields, suggest that the bright end of the  $z \sim 4$  luminosity function (LF) is actu of the  $z \sim 4$  luminosity function (LF) is actually compatible with that at  $z \sim 3$ . The of the  $z \sim 4$  luminosity function (LF) is actually compatible with that at  $z \sim 3$ . The HDF may just be an anomaly, indicating the importance of field-to-field fluctuations, or perhaps the faint end slope of the LF (to w HDF may just be an anomaly, indicating the importance of field-to-field fluctuations,<br>or perhaps the faint end slope of the LF (to which the HDF number counts are quite<br>sensitive) evolves with redshift. For the  $V_{606}$ -d sensitive) evolves with redshift. For the  $V_{606}$ -dropouts, there are approximately seven candidates with  $J_{110} < 26.5$  (including the two with spectroscopic confirmation), compared with a prediction of approximately 17 candidates with  $J_{110}$  < 26.5 (including the two with spectroscopic confirmation), and SEDs suggests that they are all very plausible  $4.5 \lesssim z \lesssim 6$  candidates. There compared with a prediction of approximately 17. Careful inspection of their images<br>and SEDs suggests that they are all very plausible  $4.5 \le z \le 6$  candidates. There<br>are *no*  $I_{814}$ -dropout candidates with  $H_{160} < 26$ , and SEDs suggests that they are all very plausible  $4.5 \le z \le 6$  candidates. There are *no*  $I_{814}$ -dropout candidates with  $H_{160} < 26$ , and only two with  $H_{160} < 26.5$ , one of which is clearly detected at  $B_{450}$  and are *no*  $I_{814}$ -dropout candidates with  $H_{160} < 26$ , and only two with  $H_{160} < 26.5$ , one<br>of which is clearly detected at  $B_{450}$  and  $V_{606}$  and, thus, is probably not at  $z > 6$ .<br>The models predict 9–13 objects to of which is clearly detected at  $B_{450}$  and  $V_{606}$  and, thus, is probably not at  $z > 6$ .<br>The models predict 9–13 objects to this magnitude limit. Some of the fainter objects may be real  $z > 6$  galaxies, but, on visual The models predict 9–13 objects to this magnitude limit. Some of the fainter objects may be real  $z > 6$  galaxies, but, on visual inspection, many are rather dubious, with very low  $S/N$ ; only a few are persuasive to a scep may be real  $z > 6$  galaxies, but, on visual inspection, many are rather dubious, with very low  $S/N$ ; only a few are persuasive to a sceptical eye. At  $H_{160} > 26.5$  we are reaching or passing the useful depth limits of ou

*Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond.* A (2000)

# <sup>2014</sup> *M. Dickinson* Downloaded from [rsta.royalsocietypublishing.org](http://rsta.royalsocietypublishing.org/)



 $H_{160} < 26.5$ . A constant horizontal density of objects implies a constant space density. Fluxes Figure 8. UV luminosity at  $\lambda_0$ <br> $H_{160} < 26.5$ . A constant horiz<br>are measured through  $12h_{70}^{-1}$  k<br>indicates the corresponding 'r  $^{-1}$  1 t  $\lambda_0$ 1700 A versus comoving volume out to redshift z for galaxies with<br>norizontal density of objects implies a constant space density. Fluxes<br> $\tau_0^{-1}$  kpc diameter metric apertures at all redshifts. The right-hand axi  $H_{160} < 26.5$ . A constant horizontal density of objects implies a constant space density. Fluxes<br>are measured through  $12h_{70}^{-1}$  kpc diameter metric apertures at all redshifts. The right-hand axis<br>indicates the corresp indicates the corresponding 'raw' star-formation rate derived from the UV luminosity without correction for dust, assuming a Salpeter IMF. The horizontal dotted line marks the luminosity indicates the corresponding 'raw' star-formation rate derived from the UV luminosity without<br>correction for dust, assuming a Salpeter IMF. The horizontal dotted line marks the luminosity<br>of an  $L^*$  LBG at  $z \approx 3$  (Steide correction for dust, assuming a Salpeter IMF. The horizontal dotted line marks the luminosity<br>of an  $L^*$  LBG at  $z \approx 3$  (Steidel *et al.* 1999). The dashed curve indicates  $m(\lambda_0 1700) = 26.5$  at<br>the bandpass indicated by the bandpass indicated by labels at the top. Objects with spectroscopic redshifts are indicated<br>by filled symbols; photometric redshifts are used otherwise. Objects meeting two-colour criteria<br>as  $U_{300}$ ,  $B_{450}$ ,  $V_{60$ by filled symbols; photometric redshifts are used otherwise. Objects meeting two-colour criteria as  $U_{300}$ ,  $B_{450}$ ,  $V_{606}$  and  $I_{814}$  'dropouts' are coded as  $\nabla$ ,  $\square$ ,  $\triangle$  and  $\circ$ , respectively; all others ar

This analysis is in qualitative agreement with one based on photometric redshift estimates. Figure 8 plots rest-frame  $1700 \text{ Å}$  luminosities of galaxies versus redshift (spectroscopic when available, photometric otherwise) for an HDF sample limited to  $H_{160}$  < 26.5. The photometric redshifts are generally in good agreement with the simple two-colour selection illustrated in figure 6. There are a few objects with  $z_{\rm phot} \approx$  $H_{160} < 26.5$ . The photometric redshifts are generally in good agreement with the simple two-colour selection illustrated in figure 6. There are a few objects with  $z_{\text{phot}} \approx 3.5$  that 'fall in the gap' between the  $U_{30$ simple two-colour selection illustrated in figure 6. There are a few objects with  $z_{\text{phot}} \approx$ <br>3.5 that 'fall in the gap' between the  $U_{300}$ - and  $B_{450}$ -dropout samples. At  $z_{\text{phot}} >$ <br>6 there is only partial overlap be 3.5 that 'fall in the gap' between the  $U_{300}$ - and  $B_{450}$ -dropout samples. At  $z_{\text{phot}} >$ <br>6 there is only partial overlap between the  $I_{814}$ -dropout and photometric redshift<br>samples, but this is understandable since 6 there is only partial overlap between the  $I_{814}$ -dropout and photometric redshift samples, but this is understandable since most of the candidates are very faint with low- $S/N$  photometry and poor photometric redshift samples, but this is understandable since most of the candidates are very faint with<br>low- $S/N$  photometry and poor photometric redshift constraints (i.e. relatively flat<br> $z_{\text{phot}}$  likelihood functions). The space density o low- $S/N$  photometry and poor photometric redshift constraints (i.e. relatively flat  $z_{\text{phot}}$  likelihood functions). The space density of the bright LBG candidates appears to thin at  $z \geq 4.5$ , and at  $z > 5.5$  there are  $z_{\text{phot}}$  likelihood functions). The space density of the bright LBG candidates appears<br>to thin at  $z \ge 4.5$ , and at  $z > 5.5$  there are no candidates with UV luminosities<br>greater than the characteristic  $L^*$  at  $z = 3$ , d to thin at  $z \ge 4.5$ , and at  $z > 5.5$  there are no ca<br>greater than the characteristic  $L^*$  at  $z = 3$ , despite a<br>if they were present with similar space densities.<sup>†</sup><br>Ferguson (1998) and Lanzetta *et al.* (1999) have eater than the characteristic  $L^*$  at  $z = 3$ , despite abundant volume to house them<br>they were present with similar space densities.<sup>†</sup><br>Ferguson (1998) and Lanzetta *et al.* (1999) have stressed the importance of cos-<br>plo

if they were present with similar space densities.<sup>†</sup><br>Ferguson (1998) and Lanzetta *et al.* (1999) have stressed the importance of cos-<br>mological surface-brightness dimming when characterizing the galaxy population at

*Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond.* A (2000)

NEERING ATHEMATICAL ಕ

THE ROYA

**PHILOSOPHICAL**<br>TRANSACTIONS

**MATHEMATICAL,<br>PHYSICAL<br>& ENGINEERING<br>SCIENCES** 

HH

pological surface-brightness dimming when characterizing the galaxy population at<br>† For an open universe, the higher  $z_{\text{phot}}$  candidates would be more luminous and the upper envelope<br>their UV luminosity would be nearly f <sup>†</sup> For an open universe, the higher  $z_{\text{phot}}$  candidates would be more luminous and the upper envelope<br>to their UV luminosity would be nearly flat, but the space densities would be even more sparse compared<br>with those at to their UV luminosity would be nearly flat, but the space densities would be even more sparse compared with those at  $2 < z < 3.5$ .

ATHEMATICAL

HH

**PHILOSOPHICAL**<br>TRANSACTIONS

 $The first galaxies$  2015<br>  $z > 3$ . This can affect the likelihood of detecting high-redshift galaxies, as well as the<br>
fluxes measured with isophotally based photometry schemes. Lanzetta *et al.* (1999)  $z > 3$ . This can affect the likelihood of detecting high-redshift galaxies, as well as the fluxes measured with isophotally based photometry schemes. Lanzetta *et al.* (1999) show that the global rate of star formation oc fluxes measured with isophotally based photometry schemes. Lanzetta *et al.* (1999) show that the global rate of star formation occurring in the regions with the highest fluxes measured with isophotally based photometry schemes. Lanzetta *et al.* (1999) show that the global rate of star formation occurring in the regions with the highest UV surface brightness rises steeply with redshift, show that the global rate of star formation occurring in the regions with the highest UV surface brightness rises steeply with redshift, and argue that far more UV light may be present in  $z > 5$  galaxies at fainter, unmea UV surface brightness rises steeply with redshift, and argue that far more UV light<br>may be present in  $z > 5$  galaxies at fainter, unmeasured isophotal thresholds. We<br>may partly address this by measuring fluxes and luminos may be present in  $z > 5$  galaxies at fainter, unmeasured isophotal thresholds. We<br>may partly address this by measuring fluxes and luminosities non-isophotally, e.g. by<br>using apertures scaled by image moments or with fixed may partly address this by measuring fluxes and luminosities non-isophotally, e.g. by<br>using apertures scaled by image moments or with fixed metric sizes. The photometry<br>in figure 8 uses 12 kpc metric apertures, and, thus, using apertures scaled by image moments or with fixed metric sizes. The photometry<br>in figure 8 uses 12 kpc metric apertures, and, thus, is insensitive to surface-brightness<br>limits except as far as they affect galaxy detect in figure 8 uses 12 kpc metric apertures, and, thus, is insensitive to surface-brightness<br>limits except as far as they affect galaxy detection in the first place: most faint object<br>cataloguing packages use isophotal detec limits except as far as they affect galaxy detection in the first place: most faint object<br>cataloguing packages use isophotal detection thresholds. We have examined this by<br>taking WFPC2 images of  $z \sim 2.5$  LBGs, artificia cataloguing packages use isophotal detection thresholds. We have examined this by taking WFPC2 images of  $z \sim 2.5$  LBGs, artificially shifting them to higher redshifts, and re-inserting them into the NICMOS data to assess taking WFPC2 images of  $z \sim 2.5$  LBGs, artificially shifting them to higher redshifts,<br>and re-inserting them into the NICMOS data to assess their detectability. Galaxies<br>like the  $L \gtrsim L^*$  LBGs at  $z \sim 3$  should be detec and re-inserting them into the NICMOS data to assess their detectability. Galaxies<br>like the  $L \geq L^*$  LBGs at  $z \sim 3$  should be detectable to at least  $z \approx 7$  at the depth<br>of our guest observer NICMOS images, and more eas like the  $L \gtrsim L^*$  LBGs at  $z \sim 3$  should be detectable to at least  $z \approx 7$  at the depth of our guest observer NICMOS images, and more easily in the HDF-south NICMOS field or the HDF-north GTO NICMOS image, each of which of our guest observer NICMOS images, and more easily in the HDF-south NICMOS<br>field or the HDF-north GTO NICMOS image, each of which goes ca. 1 mag deeper<br>than the dataset discussed here. However, a general census at such r field or the HDF-north GTO NICMOS image, each of which goes  $ca.1$  mag deeper

Overall, the HDF data disfavour the null hypothesis that galaxies like the bright indeed be woefully incomplete.<br>
Overall, the HDF data disfavour the null hypothesis that galaxies like the bright<br>
LBGs at  $2 < z < 4.5$  are present at  $z \gg 5$  with similar space densities. The higher-<br>
redshift galaxies are Overall, the HDF data disfavour the null hypothesis that galaxies like the bright<br>LBGs at  $2 < z < 4.5$  are present at  $z \gg 5$  with similar space densities. The higher-<br>redshift galaxies are apparently either fainter, more r LBGs at  $2 < z < 4.5$  are present at  $z \gg 5$  with similar space densities. The higher-<br>redshift galaxies are apparently either fainter, more rare, have lower surface bright-<br>ness, or some combination thereof. At any rate, th redshift galaxies are apparently either fainter, more rare, have lower surface brightness, or some combination thereof. At any rate, they are certainly more difficult to detect and study, at least in abundance, even with t

### 6. An object at  $z \geq 12$ ?

Although the few  $I$ -dropout candidates in the HDF are very faint, paradoxically there is one comparatively bright ' $J$ -dropout' object (shown in figure 9). Lanzetta *et al.* **is one comparatively bright 'J-dropout'** object at  $z \approx 12$ .<br>
is one comparatively bright 'J-dropout' object (shown in figure 9). Lanzetta *et al.* (1998) identified five possible sources in the KPNO K<sub>s</sub> images of the H is one comparatively bright 'J-dropout' object (shown in figure 9). Lanzetta *et al.* (1998) identified five possible sources in the KPNO K<sub>s</sub> images of the HDF that were invisible in the WFPC2 data. Of these, four are un (1998) identified five possible sources in the KPNO  $K_s$  images of the HDF that were<br>invisible in the WFPC2 data. Of these, four are undetected by the NICMOS. One,<br>however, which we call HDFN-JD1, has a robust 1.6  $\mu$ m d invisible in the WFPC2 data. Of these, four are undetected by the NICMOS. One, however, which we call HDFN-JD1, has a robust 1.6  $\mu$ m detection ( $H_{160} \approx 25.2$ ), but is at best only marginally ( $S/N < 2$ ) detected at 1.1 however, which we call HDFN-JD1, has a robust 1.6  $\mu$ m detection ( $H_{160} \approx 25.2$ ), but<br>is at best only marginally ( $S/N < 2$ ) detected at 1.1  $\mu$ m and shorter wavelengths.<br>This optical 'detection', if real, would be impo is at best only marginally  $(S/N < 2)$  detected at 1.1  $\mu$ m and shorter wavelengths.<br>This optical 'detection', if real, would be important, as it would probably exclude<br>the most exotic hypothesis for this object, i.e. that **Example 1** This optical 'detection', if real, would be important, as it would probably exclude the most exotic hypothesis for this object, i.e. that it is a galaxy or QSO at  $z \ge 10$ .<br>It will be difficult, however, to ob the most exotic hypothesis for this object, i.e. that it is a galaxy or QSO at  $z \gtrsim 10$ .<br>It will be difficult, however, to obtain much deeper optical data than the existing HDF WFPC2 images to provide a stricter limit. It will be difficult, however, to obtain much deeper optical data than the existing<br>HDF WFPC2 images to provide a stricter limit. The red  $H_{160} - K_s$  colour suggests<br> $z \approx 12.5$  under the high-redshift hypothesis, with the HDF WFPC2 images to provide a stricter limit. The red  $H_{160} - K_s$  colour suggests  $z \approx 12.5$  under the high-redshift hypothesis, with the Ly $\alpha$  forest partly suppressing the  $H_{160}$  flux. We obtained an  $H$ -band spectro  $\blacktriangleright$  the  $H_{160}$  flux. We obtained an H-band spectrogram of HDFN-JD1 with a cryogenic  $\blacktriangleright$  spectrograph at the KPNO 4m, and, to our surprise, detected a moderately convincthe  $H_{160}$  flux. We obtained an  $H$ -band spectrogram of HDFN-JD1 with a cryogenic<br>spectrograph at the KPNO 4m, and, to our surprise, detected a moderately convinc-<br>ing emission line at 1.65 µm that could plausibly agree spectrograph at the KPNO 4m, and, to our surprise, detected a moderately convincing emission line at 1.65  $\mu$ m that could plausibly agree with Ly $\alpha$  at  $z = 12.5$ . The line did not reproduce, however, in a reobservation ing emission line at 1.65  $\mu$ m that could plausibly agree did not reproduce, however, in a reobservation at higher *et al.* (2000) for the spectra and further discussion).<br>If this is not a Lyman-break object, then it may U did not reproduce, however, in a reobservation at higher dispersion (see Dickinson  $\bigcirc$  *et al.* (2000) for the spectra and further discussion).<br>If this is not a Lyman-break object, then it may be either heavily redden

et al. (2000) for the spectra and further discussion).<br>If this is not a Lyman-break object, then it may be either heavily reddened and at<br>arbitrary redshift (but most likely  $z > 2$ , given the colours), or, possibly, a max If this is not a Lyman-break object, then it may be either heavily reddened and at arbitrary redshift (but most likely  $z > 2$ , given the colours), or, possibly, a maximally old elliptical galaxy at  $3 < z < 4$  (see § 2). If arbitrary redshift (but most likely  $z > 2$ , given the colours), or, possibly, a maximally<br>old elliptical galaxy at  $3 < z < 4$  (see § 2). If it is really at  $z \approx 12.5$ , then it<br>is either a galaxy whose unobscured star-format old elliptical galaxy at  $3 < z < 4$  (see § 2). If it is really at  $z \approx 12.5$ , then it is either a galaxy whose unobscured star-formation rate (computed from the UV luminosity) is several hundred  $M_{\odot}$  yr<sup>-1</sup>, or an activ is either a galaxy whose unobscured star-formation rate (computed from the UV<br>luminosity) is several hundred  $M_{\odot}$  yr<sup>-1</sup>, or an active galactic nucleus, perhaps one<br>of the hypothesized population responsible for reion luminosity) is several hundred  $M_{\odot}$  yr<sup>-1</sup>, or an active galactic nucleus, perhaps one of the hypothesized population responsible for reionizing the Universe. If so, however, such objects are rare at  $2 < z < 13$ , with a such objects are rare at  $2 < z < 13$ , with a space density several hundred times lower<br>*Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond.* A (2000)

<sup>2016</sup> *M. Dickinson* Downloaded from [rsta.royalsocietypublishing.org](http://rsta.royalsocietypublishing.org/)



 $U_{300}$   $B_{450}$   $V_{606}$   $I_{814}$   $J_{110}$   $H_{160}$   $K_s$ <br>Figure 9. HST and Keck images of HDFN-JD1 at 0.3-2.16 µm. The field of view of each panel<br>is 4 × 8 arcsec<sup>2</sup> HDFN-JD1 is identified by tick marks in the  $H_{160}$  Figure 9. HST and Keck images of HDFN-JD1 at 0.3–2.16  $\mu$ m. The field of view of each panel<br>is 4 × 8 arcsec<sup>2</sup>. HDFN-JD1 is identified by tick marks in the  $H_{160}$  and K<sub>s</sub> panels. The Keck K<sub>s</sub><br>image has been smoothed is  $4 \times 8$  arcsec<sup>2</sup>. HDFN-JD1 is identified by tick marks in the  $H_{160}$  and  $K_s$  panels. The Keck  $K_s$  image has been smoothed by a Gaussian with FWHM = 0."38.

Table 1. *Properties of selected high-redshift galaxies and galaxy candidates*

Table 1. Properties of selected high-redshift galaxies and galaxy candidates<br>(Assumes  $\Omega_M = 0.3$ ,  $\Omega_A = 0.7$ ,  $h = 0.7$ , and that SFR =  $1M_{\odot}$  yr<sup>-1</sup> produces  $L_{\nu}$  (1700 Å) =  $9 \times 10^{27}$  erg s<sup>-1</sup> Hz<sup>-1</sup>. Magnitudes (Assumes  $\Omega_M = 0.3$ ,  $\Omega_A = 0.7$ ,  $h = 0.7$ , and that SFR =  $9 \times 10^{27}$  erg s<sup>-1</sup> Hz<sup>-1</sup>. Magnitudes are on the AB scale.)  $9 \times 10^{27}$  erg s<sup>-1</sup> Hz<sup>-1</sup>. Magnitudes are on the AB scale.)

name	$\tilde{z}$	m	М (UV at <i>ca.</i> $\lambda_0$ 1700 Å)	$L/L^*$	'raw' SFR. $(M_{\odot}~\mathrm{yr}^{-1})$	reference
$L^*$ LBG $CDFa-G1$ RD1 HDF 3-951 HDF 4-473 HCM1 A HDFN-JD1	3.04 4.82 5.34 5.33 5.60 5.74 6.68 $12.5$ ??	24.5 23.6 25.5: 25.0 26.0 25.5: 23.9: 23.9	$-21.1 \equiv 1$ $-22.8$ $-21.0$ $-21.5$ $-20.6$ $-21.1:$ $-23:$ $-23.9$	4.7 0.9: 1.5 0.66 1.1: 5.8: 13.7	12.8 60 12: 19.6 8.5 13.5 74: 175	Steidel $et \ al. (1999)$ Steidel $et al. (1999)$ Dey <i>et al.</i> $(1998)$ Spinrad <i>et al.</i> $(1998)$ Weymann $et \ al. (1998)$ Hu <i>et al.</i> $(1999)$ Chen et al. $(1999)$ Dickinson <i>et al.</i> $(2000)$

than that of present-day  $L^*$  galaxies, and it is unlikely that most of today's galaxies<br>began their life in such a way than that of present-day  $L^*$  gala<br>began their life in such a way.<br>Table 1 compares the UV lun an that of present-day  $L^*$  galaxies, and it is unlikely that most of today's galaxies<br>gan their life in such a way.<br>Table 1 compares the UV luminosities of some confirmed and candidate galaxies<br> $z > 4$  with that of an  $L$ 

began their life in such a way.<br>Table 1 compares the UV luminosities of some confirmed and candidate galaxies<br>at  $z > 4$  with that of an  $L^*$  LBG at  $z = 3$ . In some cases, IR data needed to measure<br>fluxes at rest frame 17 Table 1 compares the UV luminosities of some confirmed and candidate galaxies at  $z > 4$  with that of an  $L^*$  LBG at  $z = 3$ . In some cases, IR data needed to measure fluxes at rest frame 1700 Å are not available, and esti fluxes at rest frame 1700 Å are not available, and estimates based on published photometric or spectroscopic fluxes redward of  $Ly\alpha$  have been used instead.† 'Raw' star-formation rates are computed from the UV luminositie photometric or spectroscopic fluxes redward of  $Ly\alpha$  have been used instead.<sup>†</sup> 'Raw' IMF and no dust obscuration.<br>CDFa-G1 at  $z = 4.815$  is the most-luminous LBG yet identified (among both  $U_n$ .

star-formation rates are computed from the UV luminosities assuming a Salpeter<br>IMF and no dust obscuration.<br>CDFa-G1 at  $z = 4.815$  is the most-luminous LBG yet identified (among both  $U_n$ -<br>and G-dropouts) in our large, gro CDFa-G1 at  $z = 4.815$  is the most-luminous LBG yet identified (among both  $U_n$ -<br>and G-dropouts) in our large, ground-based survey. The spectroscopically verified<br> $5 < z < 6$  galaxies have luminosities that are mostly fairly  $5 < z < 6$  galaxies have luminosities that are mostly fairly typical of  $z \approx 3$  LBGs, ranging from 0.66 to 1.5L\*. The  $z = 5.74$  object from Hu *et al.* (1999) has been described as 'extremely luminous', but is actually quit ¤ ge, ground-based survey. The spectroscopically verified<br>minosities that are mostly fairly typical of  $z \approx 3$  LBGs,<br>. The  $z = 5.74$  object from Hu *et al.* (1999) has been<br>ninous' but is actually quite typical for  $z \approx 3-4$  $5 < z < 6$  galaxies have luminosities that are mostly fairly typical of  $z \approx 3$  LBGs, ranging from 0.66 to  $1.5L^*$ . The  $z = 5.74$  object from Hu *et al.* (1999) has been described as 'extremely luminous', but is actually q ranging from 0.66 to  $1.5L^*$ . The  $z = 5.74$  object from Hu *et al.* (1999) has been described as 'extremely luminous', but is actually quite typical for  $z \approx 3-4$  LBGs, and somewhat fainter than HDF 3-951 at  $z = 5.33$ . C and somewhat fainter than HDF 3-951 at  $z = 5.33$ . Chen *et al.* (1999) have identified a candidate galaxy at  $z = 6.68$  from Space Telescope Imaging Spectrograph (STIS)

 $\dagger$   $L_{\nu}$  (1700 Å) estimates for RD1 are derived in part from a *J*-band measurement by Armus *et al.* (1998). For HDF 3-951 and 4-473 we use our own NICMOS  $J_{110}$  photometry.

*Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond.* A (2000)

**WALTEWALICAL,<br>PHYSICAL<br>& ENGINEERING**<br>SCIENCES MATHEMATICAL

JYAL

THE

**PHILOSOPHICAL**<br>TRANSACTIONS  $\overline{O}$ 

**MATHEMATICAL,<br>PHYSICAL<br>& ENGINEERING<br>SCIENCES** 

THE ROYAL

**PHILOSOPHICAL**<br>TRANSACTIONS  $\overline{\sigma}$ 

EFRING *<u>XTHEMATICAL</u>* 

**OYAL** 

THE

**PHILOSOPHICAL**<br>TRANSACTIONS ō

ATHEMATICAL

OYA

Œ

**PHILOSOPHICAL**<br>TRANSACTIONS

 $The first galaxies$  2017<br>slitless spectra. The available photometry is limited, but based on the spectral con-<br>tinuum flux-density estimate, this object appears to be significantly more luminous slitless spectra. The available photometry is limited, but based on the spectral continuum flux-density estimate, this object appears to be significantly more luminous than other known LBGs at  $2 < z < 6$ , or than any  $0 < z <$ slitless spectra. The available photometry is limited, but based on the spectral con-<br>tinuum flux-density estimate, this object appears to be significantly more luminous<br>than other known LBGs at  $2 < z < 6$ , or than any  $0 < z$ tinuum flux-density estimate, this object appears to be significantly more luminous<br>than other known LBGs at  $2 < z < 6$ , or than any  $0 < z < 10$  candidates from<br>the HDF/NICMOS sample. This is a remarkable result, if true, sin than other known LBGs at  $2 < z < 6$ , or than any  $0 < z < 10$  candidates from<br>the HDF/NICMOS sample. This is a remarkable result, if true, since the solid angle<br>covered by the STIS field is less than 1 arcmin<sup>2</sup>. On the other the HDF/NICMOS sample. This is a remarkable result, if true, since the solid angle<br>covered by the STIS field is less than 1 arcmin<sup>2</sup>. On the other hand, if the J-dropout<br>object HDFN-JD1 was really at  $z = 12.5$ , it would covered by the STIS field is less than 1 arcmin<sup>2</sup>. On the other hand, if the J-dropout object HDFN-JD1 was really at  $z = 12.5$ , it would be more luminous still, nearly three times brighter than CDFa-G1 at  $z = 4.82$ , with .

### 7. Discussion

7. Discussion<br>Overall, the HDF/NICMOS data demonstrate both the promise and the challenges<br>that lie ahead for finding and studying the 'first' galaxies. The rest-frame optical Overall, the HDF/NICMOS data demonstrate both the promise and the challenges<br>that lie ahead for finding and studying the 'first' galaxies. The rest-frame optical<br>view of LBGs presented in  $66.2$  and 3 strongly suggests th that lie ahead for finding and studying the 'first' galaxies. The rest-frame optical view of LBGs presented in  $\S\S 2$  and 3 strongly suggests that the galaxy population that lie ahead for finding and studying the 'first' galaxies. The rest-frame optical<br>view of LBGs presented in  $\S\S 2$  and 3 strongly suggests that the galaxy population<br>at  $2 < z < 3$  had not yet achieved maturity. The giant view of LBGs presented in §§ 2 and 3 strongly suggests that the galaxy population<br>at  $2 < z < 3$  had not yet achieved maturity. The giant Hubble sequence spirals and<br>ellipticals that dominate the high-mass end of the galaxy at  $2 < z < 3$  had not yet achieved maturity. The giant Hubble sequence spirals and ellipticals that dominate the high-mass end of the galaxy population today are not seen at  $z > 2$ . In a sample of HDF galaxies selected in t ellipticals that dominate the high-mass end of the galaxy population today are not seen at  $z > 2$ . In a sample of HDF galaxies selected in the NIR, nearly all galaxies with spectroscopic or plausible photometric redshifts seen at  $z > 2$ . In a sample of HDF galaxies selected in the NIR, nearly all galaxies with spectroscopic or plausible photometric redshifts  $2 < z < 3.5$  are evidently forming stars quite rapidly and can also be identified vi light. The evidence from the SCUBA shows that there are occasional `monsters' forming stars quite rapidly and can also be identified via their emitted-frame UV light. The evidence from the SCUBA shows that there are occasional 'monsters' whose obscured star formation may be quite important to the gl light. The evidence from the SCUBA shows that there are occasional 'monsters' whose obscured star formation may be quite important to the global emissive energy budget from galaxies. The identification of these objects, re whose obscured star formation ma<br>budget from galaxies. The identific<br>remains an important dilemma.<br>Broadband colour selection ha budget from galaxies. The identification of these objects, relatively rare by number,<br>remains an important dilemma.<br>Broadband colour selection has been the most successful means for identifying

remains an important dilemma.<br>Broadband colour selection has been the most successful means for identifying<br>high-redshift galaxies, but we seem to be pushing the limits of what can be accom-<br>plished at  $z > 6$  with present Broadband colour selection has been the most successful means for identifying<br>high-redshift galaxies, but we seem to be pushing the limits of what can be accom-<br>plished at  $z > 6$  with present-day capabilities. The NICMOS high-redshift galaxies, but we seem to be pushing the limits of what can be accom-<br>plished at  $z > 6$  with present-day capabilities. The NICMOS HDF images are the<br>deepest NIR data now available, and they do include plausib plished at  $z > 6$  with present-day capabilities. The NICMOS HDF images are the deepest NIR data now available, and they do include plausible candidates for galaxies at  $6 < z < 9$ , but they are relatively few, and most are q deepest NIR data now available, and they do include plausible candidates for galaxies at  $6 < z < 9$ , but they are relatively few, and most are quite probably too faint for spectroscopic confirmation. We probably should not *because ies at*  $6 < z < 9$ , but they are relatively few, and most are quite probably too faint for spectroscopic confirmation. We probably should not expect to find galaxies much *brighter* than these candidates unless som for spectroscopic confirmation. We probably should not expect to find galaxies much *brighter* than these candidates unless some of the 'first' galaxies were significantly more luminous than the boring, old, 'later' galax brighter than these candidates unless some of the 'first' galaxies were significantly<br>more luminous than the boring, old, 'later' galaxies that we have now surveyed exten-<br>sively at  $z \approx 3$ . This is not impossible of cour more luminous than the boring, old, 'later' galaxies that we have now surveyed extensively at  $z \approx 3$ . This is not impossible of course: the Chen *et al.* (1999) object and HDFN-JD1 are both possible (but unconfirmed)  $z >$ sively at  $z \approx 3$ . This is not impossible of course: the Chen *et al.* (1999) object and HDFN-JD1 are both possible (but unconfirmed)  $z > 6$  candidates more luminous than any normal LBG at  $z < 5$ . Perhaps, indeed, there a HDFN-JD1 are both possible (but unconfirmed)  $z > 6$  candidates more luminous<br>than any normal LBG at  $z < 5$ . Perhaps, indeed, there are very luminous, relatively<br>unobscured proto-galaxies out there waiting to be found, a h than any normal LBG at  $z < 5$ . Perhaps, indeed, there are very luminous, relatively<br>unobscured proto-galaxies out there waiting to be found, a hope that was once quite<br>widespread, but which seems to have gradually faded i unobscured proto-galaxies out there waiting to be found, a hope that was once quite widespread, but which seems to have gradually faded in the modern era of 25th magnitude LBGs and optically invisible SCUBA sources. Perhap widespread, b<br>magnitude LE<br>a comeback.<br>These few r Magnitude LBGs and optically invisible SCUBA sources. Perhaps it will still make<br>comeback.<br>These few rather speculative candidates aside, the evidence from the HDF alone<br>and suggest that the population of UV-bright LBGs m

a comeback.<br>These few rather speculative candidates aside, the evidence from the HDF alone<br>would suggest that the population of UV-bright LBGs may be thinning out at  $z > 5$ ,<br>at least for objects comparable with those at t These few rather speculative candidates aside, the evidence from the HDF alone would suggest that the population of UV-bright LBGs may be thinning out at  $z > 5$ , at least for objects comparable with those at the bright en would suggest that the population of UV-bright LBGs may be thinning out at  $z > 5$ , at least for objects comparable with those at the bright end of the  $z \approx 3$  luminosity function. It should be remembered, however, that th at least for objects comparable with those at the bright end of the  $z \approx 3$  luminosity<br>function. It should be remembered, however, that this was also the conclusion reached<br>by Madau *et al.* (1996) at  $z > 3.5$ , a result t function. It should be remembered, however, that this was also the conclusion reached<br>by Madau *et al.* (1996) at  $z > 3.5$ , a result that has since been challenged by larger<br>surveys with extensive spectroscopy. It is undo by Madau *et al.* (1996) at  $z > 3.5$ , a result that has since been challenged by larger<br>surveys with extensive spectroscopy. It is undoubtedly dangerous to draw conclusions<br>too strongly from one 5 arcmin<sup>2</sup> field. However surveys with extensive spectroscopy. It is undoubtedly dangerous to draw conclusions<br>too strongly from one 5 arcmin<sup>2</sup> field. However, extending this work to larger areas<br>and more sightlines will be an expensive effort. Su too strongly from one 5 arcmin<sup>2</sup> field. However, extending this work to larger areas<br>and more sightlines will be an expensive effort. Surface-brightness dimming and<br>limited solid-angle coverage may limit our ability to se and more sightlines will be an expensive effort. Surface-brightness dimming and<br>limited solid-angle coverage may limit our ability to see much more with the NICMOS<br>(assuming that it is successfully revived in 2001), and g limited solid-angle coverage may limit our ability to see much more with the NICMOS (assuming that it is successfully revived in 2001), and ground-based NIR imaging may never go deep enough to detect any but the most lumi never go deep enough to detect any but the most luminous objects at  $z > 5$ . Wider *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond.* A (2000)

2018  $\mu$ . Dickinson<br>fields imaged with the HST WFC3 NIR channel (coming circa 2004) may offer the<br>best survey opportunity until NGST, but a substantial investment of observing time fields imaged with the HST WFC3 NIR channel (coming circa 2004) may offer the best survey opportunity until NGST, but a substantial investment of observing time will be needed to survey adequate solid angles to sufficient best survey opportunity until NGST, but a substantial investment of observing time will be needed to survey adequate solid angles to sufficient depth.

Alternatively, we may turn to other observing strategies, e.g. by taking advantage of gravitational lensing from foreground galaxy clusters to boost very distant ob jects Alternatively, we may turn to other observing strategies, e.g. by taking advantage<br>of gravitational lensing from foreground galaxy clusters to boost very distant objects<br>to detectable magnitudes. Narrow-band and blind mul of gravitational lensing from foreground galaxy clusters to boost very distant objects<br>to detectable magnitudes. Narrow-band and blind multislit emission line searches<br>are being carried out through airglow windows (e.g. a to detectable magnitudes. Narrow-band and blind multislit emission line searches<br>are being carried out through airglow windows (e.g. at  $\lambda$ 9150 Å, corresponding to<br> $z \approx 6.5$ ; cf. Crampton & Lilly (1999) and Stockton (199 are being carried out through airglow windows (e.g. at  $\lambda$ 9150 Å, corresponding to  $z \approx 6.5$ ; cf. Crampton & Lilly (1999) and Stockton (1999)). Or perhaps concerted efforts to identify SCUBA sources will indeed turn up o  $z \approx 6.5$ ; cf. Crampton & Lilly (1999) and Stockton (1999)<br>efforts to identify SCUBA sources will indeed turn up obje<br>advantage of the negative SMM k-correction is enormous.<br>These data are offering a first glimpse into th efforts to identify SCUBA sources will indeed turn up objects at  $z \gg 5$ , where the advantage of the negative SMM *k*-correction is enormous.<br>These data are offering a first glimpse into the so-called 'dark ages', and giv

hope that there may be luminous things there to find and study. In some sense, These data are offering a first glimpse into the so-called 'dark ages', and giving<br>hope that there may be luminous things there to find and study. In some sense,<br>we may not know that we have found the first galaxies until hope that there may be luminous things there to find and study. In some sense, we may not know that we have found the first galaxies until we can find no more beyond them. Holding to that standard will ensure that a more c we may not know that we have found the first galaxies until beyond them. Holding to that standard will ensure that a m<br>hence, more rewarding) threshold of proof always lies ahead. hence, more rewarding) threshold of proof always lies ahead.<br>I thank my HDF/NICMOS collaborators for their contributions and for permission to show

results in advance of publication, particularly Casey Papovich, Tamas Budavári, Jean-Marc Deltorn, Chris Hanley and Harry Ferguson. I also thank Chuck Steidel, Max Pettini, Mauro results in advance of publication, particularly Casey Papovich, Tamas Budavári, Jean-Marc<br>Deltorn, Chris Hanley and Harry Ferguson. I also thank Chuck Steidel, Max Pettini, Mauro<br>Giavalisco, Kurt Adelberger and Alice Shape Deltorn, Chris Hanley and Harry Ferguson. I also thank Chuck Steidel, Max Pettini, Mauro<br>Giavalisco, Kurt Adelberger and Alice Shapely for their long-standing and highly valued collab-<br>oration. I am grateful to The Royal S Giavalisco, Kurt Adelberger and Alice Shapely tor their long-standing and highly valued collaboration. I am grateful to The Royal Society for inviting me to this Meeting and for supporting my travel and accommodation, and oration. I am grateful to The Royal Society for<br>my travel and accommodation, and to the edito<br>supported by NASA grant GO-07817.01-96A.

### References

Armus, L., Matthews, K., Neugebauer, G. & Soifer, B. T. 1998 *Astrophys. J.* <sup>506</sup>, L89.

- Armus, L., Matthews, K., Neugebauer, G. & Soifer, B. T. 1998 Astrophys. J. 506, L89.<br>Barger, A. J., Cowie, L. L., Trentham, N., Fulton, E., Hu, E. M., Songaila, A. & Hall, D. 1999<br>Astr. J. 117, 102 mus, L., Matthews,<br>*rger*, A. J., Cowie, I<br>*Astr. J.* 117, 102.<br>devéri, T. Szalav. Astr.J. 117, 102.<br>Budavári, T., Szalay, A. S., Connolly, A. J., Csabai, I. & Dickinson, M. 2000 *Astr. J.* (In the
- press.) Budavári, T., Szalay, A. S., Connolly, A. J., Csabai, I. & Dickinson, M. 2000 *Astr. J.* (In the<br>press.)<br>Bunker, A. J. 1999 In *Photometric redshifts and the detection of high-redshift galaxies* (ed. R.<br>Weymann I. Storrie-
- press.)<br>inker, A. J. 1999 In *Photometric redshifts and the detection of high-redshift galaxies* (ed. R.<br>Weymann, L. Storrie-Lombardi, M. Sawicki & R. Brunner), p. 317. San Francisco: Astronom-<br>ical Society of the Pacific Weymann, L. Storrie-Lombardi, M. Sawicki & R. Brunner), p. 317. San Francisco: Astronomical Society of the Pacific. Weymann, L. Storrie-Lombardi, M. Sawicki & R. Brunner), p. 317. San Francisco: Astronomical Society of the Pacific.<br>Calzetti, D. 1997 In *The ultraviolet Universe at low and high redshift* (ed. W. H. Waller *et al.*),<br>n 40

p. 1241 Society of the Pacific.<br>12etti, D. 1997 In *The ultraviolet Universe at low as*<br>p. 403. New York: American Institute of Physics.<br>1909. H. W. Janzatta, K. M. & Passarella S. 1909. Chen, H.-W., Lanzetta, K. M. & Pascarelle, S. 1999 *Nature* <sup>398</sup>, 586.

Crampton, D. & Lilly, S. 1999 In *Photometric redshiftsandthedetection of high-redshift galaxies* (ed. R. W., Lanzetta, K. M. & Pascarelle, S. 1999 Nature 398, 586.<br>ampton, D. & Lilly, S. 1999 In *Photometric redshifts and the detection of high-redshift galaxies*<br>(ed. R. Weymann, L. Storrie-Lombardi, M. Sawicki & R. Br ampton, D. & Lilly, S. 1999 In *Photom*<br>(ed. R. Weymann, L. Storrie-Lombar<br>Astronomical Society of the Pacific.<br>w. A. Spinned H. Storp D. Crabom Astronomical Society of the Pacific.<br>Dey, A., Spinrad, H., Stern, D., Graham, J. R. & Chaffee, F. H. 1998 *Astrophys. J.* 498, L93.

Astronomical Society of the Pacific.<br>Dey, A., Spinrad, H., Stern, D., Graham, J. R. & Chaffee, F. H. 1998 *Astrophys. J.* 498, L93.<br>Dickinson, M. 1998 In *The Hubble deep field* (ed. M. Livio, S. M. Fall & P. Madau), p. 21 y, A., Spinrad, H., Stern, D., C<br>ckinson, M. 1998 In *The Hubl*<br>Cambridge University Press.<br>ckinson, M. 2000 In *Pros*, XIX Dickinson, M. 1998 In *The Hubble deep field* (ed. M. Livio, S. M. Fall & P. Madau), p. 219.<br>Cambridge University Press.<br>Dickinson, M. 2000 In *Proc. XIXth Moriond Astrophysics Mtg* (ed. F. Hammer, T. X. Thuan, V. Cavatte,

Cambridge University Press.<br>ckinson, M. 2000 In *Proc. XIXth Moriond Astrophysics Mtg* (ed. F. Hammer, T. X. Thuan,<br>V. Cayatte, B. Guiderdoni & J. Tranh Than Van), p. 257. Editions Frontières. (In the press.) Dickinson, M. 2000 In *Proc. XIXth Moriond Astro*<br>V. Cayatte, B. Guiderdoni & J. Tranh Than Van<br>Dickinson, M. *et al.* 2000 *Astrophys. J.* 531, 624.<br>Ferguson, H. C. 1908 In The Hubble deep feld (ed. V.Cayatte, B. Guiderdoni & J. Tranh Than Van), p. 257. Editions Frontières. (In the press.)<br>Dickinson, M. *et al.* 2000 *Astrophys. J.* 531, 624.<br>Ferguson, H. C. 1998 In *The Hubble deep field* (ed. M. Livio, S. M. Fall &

ckinson, M. *et al.* 2000 Astropi<br>rguson, H. C. 1998 In *The Hu*<br>Cambridge University Press. Ferguson, H. C. 1998 In *The Hubble deep field* (ed. M. Livio, S. M. Fall & P. 1<br>Cambridge University Press.<br>Fernández-Soto, A., Lanzetta, K. M. & Yahil, A. 1999 *[Astrophys. J.](http://pippo.ingentaselect.com/nw=1/rpsv/cgi-bin/linker?ext=a&reqidx=/0004-637X^28^29513L.34[aid=540962,doi=10.1086/306847])* 513, 34.<br>Ciamplingo M. Steidel C. C. & Magch

Cambridge University Press.<br>Fernández-Soto, A., Lanzetta, K. M. & Yahil, A. 1999 *[Astrophys. J.](http://pippo.ingentaselect.com/nw=1/rpsv/cgi-bin/linker?ext=a&reqidx=/0004-637X^28^29470L.189[aid=540963,doi=10.1086/177859])* 513, 34.<br>Giavalisco, M., Steidel, C. C. & Macchetto, D. 1996 *Astrophys. J.* 470, 189. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond.* A (2000)

**IATHEMATICAL,<br>HYSICAL<br>< ENGINEERING<br>CIENCES** 

THE ROYAL

- Ine first galaxies<br>Hogg, D. W., Neugebauer, G., Armus, L., Matthews, K., Pahre, M. A., Soifer, B. T. & Wein-<br>herger, A. J. 1997 *Astr. J.* 113, 2338. **berger, A. J. 1997** *Astr. J.* **113, 2338.**<br>berger, A. J. 1997 *Astr. J.* 113, 2338.<br>L. E. M. McMabon, B. G. & Cowie, L. 1 **IATHEMATICAL,<br>HYSICAL<br>¿ENGINEERING**<br>CIENCES Hogg,D. W., Neugebauer, G., Armus, L., Matthews, K., Pahre, M. A., S.<br>berger, A. J. 1997 *Astr. J.* 113, 2338.<br>Hu, E. M., McMahon, R. G. & Cowie, L. L. 1999 *Astrophys. J.* 522, L9.<br>Hughes, D. et al. 1998 *Nature*, 394, 2
	- berger, A. J. 1997 *Astr. J.* 113, 2338.<br>Hu, E. M., McMahon, R. G. & Cowie, L. .<br>Hughes, D. *et al.* 1998 *Nature* 394, 241.<br>Kinney A. L. Calzetti D. Boblin, B. C. M
	-
	- Kinney,A. L., McManon, K. G. & Cowle, E. L. 1999 Astrophys. J. 322, E9.<br>Hughes, D. *et al.* 1998 Nature 394, 241.<br>Kinney, A. L., Calzetti, D., Bohlin, R. C., McQuade, K., Storchi-Bergmann, T. & Schmitt, H. R.<br>1996 Astroph 1996, D. *et al.* 1998 *Nature* 3<br>
	1996 *Astrophys. J.* 467, 38.<br>
	1996 *Astrophys. J.* 467, 38.<br>
	1996 *K. M. Yabil* A *kr* Fe Kinney,A. L., Caizetti, D., Boniin, K. C., McQuade, K., Storch-Bergmann<br>1996 *Astrophys. J.* 467, 38.<br>Lanzetta, K. M., Yahil, A. & Fernández-Soto, A. 1996 *Nature* 381, 759.<br>Lanzetta, K. M. Yahil, A. & Fernández-Soto, A.
	-
	- 1996Astrophys. J. 467, 38.<br>Lanzetta, K. M., Yahil, A. & Fernández-Soto, A. 1996 *Nature* 381, 759.<br>Lanzetta, K. M., Yahil, A. & Fernández-Soto, A. 1998 Astr. J. 116, 1066.<br>Lanzetta, K. M. Chon, H. W., Fernández-Soto, A. P
	- Lanzetta,K. M., Tann, A. & Fernandez-Soto, A. 1990 Nuture 381, 139.<br>Lanzetta, K. M., Yahil, A. & Fernández-Soto, A. 1998 Astr. J. 116, 1066.<br>Lanzetta, K. M., Chen, H.-W., Fernández-Soto, A., Pascarelle, S., Puetter, R., Y nzetta, K. M., Yahil, A. & Fernández-Soto, A. 1998 *Astr. J.* 116, 1066.<br>nzetta, K. M., Chen, H.-W., Fernández-Soto, A., Pascarelle, S., Puetter, R., Yahata, N. &<br>Yahil, A. 1999 In *Photometric redshifts and the detection* Yahil, A. 1999 In *Photometric redshifts and the detection of high-redshift galaxies* (ed. R. Weymann, L. Storrie-Lombardi, M. Sawicki & R. Brunner), p. 223. San Francisco: Astronomical Society of the Pacific. mann, L. Storrie-Lombardi, M. Sawicki & R. Brunner), p. 223. San Francisco: Astronomical
	- Lilly, S. *et al*. 1998 *Astrophys. J.* <sup>500</sup>, 75.
	- Lowenthal, J. D. *et al*. 1997 *Astrophys.J.* <sup>481</sup>, 673.
- Luly,S. *et al. 1998 Astrophys. J.* **300**, 75.<br>Lowenthal, J. D. *et al.* 1997 Astrophys. J. **481**, 673.<br>Madau, P., Ferguson, H. C., Dickinson, M., Giavalisco, M., Steidel, C. C. & Fruchter, A. S. 1996<br>Man. Not. R. Astr. S *Mon. Mon. B. et al. 1997 Astrophys.*<br> *Mon. Not. R. Astr. Soc.* 283, 1388.<br> *Mon. Not. R. Astr. Soc.* 283, 1388. Madau, P., Ferguson, H. C., Dickinson, M., Giavalisco, M., Steidel, C. C. & Fruchter, A. S. 1996<br> *Mon. Not. R. Astr. Soc.* **283**, 1388.<br>
Meurer, G. R., Heckman, T. M., Lehnert, M. D., Leitherer, C. & Lowenthal, J. 1997 *A* **PHILOSOPHICAL**<br>TRANSACTIONS
	- *Mon. Not.*<br>
	Purer, G. F<br> **114**, 54.<br>
	Purer. G. F. Meurer,G. R., Heckman, T. M., Lehnert, M. D., Leitherer, C. & Lowentha.<br>114, 54.<br>Meurer, G. R., Heckman, T. M. & Calzetti, D. 1999 *[Astrophys. J.](http://pippo.ingentaselect.com/nw=1/rpsv/cgi-bin/linker?ext=a&reqidx=/0004-637X^28^29521L.64[aid=540972,doi=10.1086/307523])* 521, 64.<br>Papavich, C. et el. 2000 (In proporation).
	- 114, 54.<br>Meurer, G. R., Heckman, T. M. & Calzetti,<br>Papovich, C. *et al.* 2000 (In preparation.)<br>Pottini M. Kellegg M. Steidel C. C. Dick
	-
	- Meurer, G. K., Heckman, T. M. & Calzetti, D. 1999 Astrophys. J. 321, 04.<br>Papovich, C. *et al.* 2000 (In preparation.)<br>Pettini, M., Kellogg, M., Steidel, C. C., Dickinson, M., Adelberger, K. L. & Giavalisco, M. 1998<br>Astroph povich, C. *et al.* 2000 (In<br>ttini, M., Kellogg, M., Ste<br>*Astrophys. J.* 508, 539.<br>chards E. A. Kellerman *Astrophys. J.* 508, 539.
	- Richards,E.A.,Kellerman, K. I., Fomalont, E. B., Windhorst, R. A. & Partridge, R. B. 1998
	- Sawicki,M.&Yee,H. K. C. 1998 *[Astr. J.](http://pippo.ingentaselect.com/nw=1/rpsv/cgi-bin/linker?ext=a&reqidx=/0004-6256^28^29115L.1329[aid=540974,doi=10.1086/300291])* <sup>115</sup>, 1329.
	- Simard, L. *et al*. 1999 *Astrophys. J.* <sup>519</sup>, 563.
	- Sawicki,M. & Fee, H. K. C. 1998 *Astr. J.* 113, 1529.<br>Simard, L. *et al.* 1999 *Astrophys. J.* 519, 563.<br>Spinrad, H., Stern, D., Bunker, A., Dey, A., Lanzetta, K. M., Yahil, A., Pascarelle, S. &<br>Fernández-Soto, A. 1998 *A* nard, L. *et al.* 1999 *Astrophys. J.* 519, 563.<br>inrad, H., Stern, D., Bunker, A., Dey, A., I<br>Fernández-Soto, A. 1998 *Astr. J.* 116, 2617. Fernández-Soto,A. 1998 *Astr. J.* 116, 2617.<br>Stanford, S. A. *et al.* 2000 (In preparation.)
	-
	- Steidel, C. C., Giavalisco, M., Pettini, M., Dickinson, M. & Adelberger, K. L. 1996 *Astrophys. J.* <sup>462</sup>, L17. Steidel, C. C., Giavalisco, M., Pettini, M., Dickinson, M. & Adelberger, K. L. 1996 *[Astrophys.](http://pippo.ingentaselect.com/nw=1/rpsv/cgi-bin/linker?ext=a&reqidx=/0004-637X^28^29519L.1[aid=540907,doi=10.1086/307363])*<br>J. **462**, L17.<br>Steidel, C. C., Adelberger, K. L., Giavalisco, M., Dickinson, M. & Pettini, M. 1999 *Astrophys.*<br>1 519 1
	- *J.* 462, LI7<br>
	eidel, C. C.,<br> *J.* 519, 1. Stockton,A. 1999 In *Toward a new millenium in galaxy morphology* (ed. D. L. Block, I. Puerari,
		- A. Stockton & D. Ferreira). Dordrecht: Kluwer.
	- Thompson, R. I., Storrie-Lombardi, L. J., Weymann, R. J., Rieke, M., Schneider, G., Stobie, E. & Lytle, D. 1999 *Astr. J.* <sup>117</sup>, 17.
	- Weymann,R. J., Stern, D., Bunker, A., Spinrad, H., Chaffee, F. H., Thompson, R. I. & Storrie-Lombardi, L. J. 1998 *Astrophys. J.* <sup>505</sup>, L95.

**PHILOSOPHICAL**<br>TRANSACTIONS ō

E